

ERRATUM: “THE IRON ABUNDANCE IN GALACTIC PLANETARY NEBULAE” (2009, ApJ, 694, 1335)

G. DELGADO-INGLADA<sup>1</sup>, M. RODRÍGUEZ<sup>1</sup>, A. MAMPASO<sup>2</sup>, AND K. VIIRONEN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica (INAOE), Apdo Postal 51 y 216, 72000 Puebla, Mexico; gloria@inaoep.mx, mrodr@inaoep.mx

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), C/Vía Láctea s/n, E38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain; amr@iac.es, kerttu@iac.es

Received 2011 January 13; published 2011 February 10

We discovered some errors in Table 2 of the published paper. The observed and dereddened intensities of H $\beta$  for NGC 6210 should be  $1.396 \times 10^{-11}$  and  $2.162 \times 10^{-11}$  erg cm $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$ , respectively. In addition, for IC 4593, JnEr 1, NGC 3587, and NGC 2392, we copied incorrectly the columns corresponding to the values of the observed line ratios in the red range (see Section 3 of the published paper for a description of the procedure used to derive them). Table 2 below shows the correct values of the line ratios with respect to H $\beta$ , normalized to  $I(\text{H}\beta) = 100$ . These errors do not affect our results in any way.

**Table 2**  
 Observed Line Ratios with respect to  $I(\text{H}\beta) = 100$

$\lambda (\text{\AA})$	Ion	IC 4593 $I_{\text{ob}}(\lambda)$	JnEr 1 $I_{\text{ob}}(\lambda)$	NGC 2392 $I_{\text{ob}}(\lambda)$	NGC 3587 $I_{\text{ob}}(\lambda)$
5411.52	He II	...	$3.69 \pm 0.95$	$1.95 \pm 0.12$	$1.14 \pm 0.16$
5517.66	[Cl III]	$0.416 \pm 0.049$	...	$0.79 \pm 0.06$	$0.77 \pm 0.18$
5537.60	[Cl III]	$0.340 \pm 0.044$	$0.75 \pm 0.37$	$0.66 \pm 0.05$	$0.89 \pm 0.21$
5666.63	N II	$0.122 \pm 0.037$	...	...	...
5679.56	N II	...	...	$0.36 \pm 0.28$	...
5754.60	[N II]	$0.162 \pm 0.038$	$11.5 \pm 1.7$	$2.67 \pm 0.30$	$2.37 \pm 0.32$
5875.66	He I	$17.6 \pm 1.2$	$34.5 \pm 3.9$	$14.29 \pm 1.37$	$13.3 \pm 1.3$
6300.34	[O I]	$0.162 \pm 0.052$	$33.17 \pm 2.64$	$1.61 \pm 0.29$	$8.48 \pm 0.52$
6312.10	[S III]	$0.905 \pm 0.072$	$7.6 \pm 1.3$	$4.21 \pm 0.23$	$1.70 \pm 0.19$
6310.80	He II	*	*	*	*
6363.78	[O I]	...	$11.9 \pm 1.4$	$0.676 \pm 0.059$	$2.76 \pm 0.23$
6548.10	[N II]	$4.33 \pm 0.19$	$285 \pm 13$	$41.1 \pm 1.8$	$46 \pm 2$
6562.77	H 3	$346 \pm 15$	$376 \pm 17$	$372 \pm 16$	$325 \pm 14$
6583.50	[N II]	$12.63 \pm 0.54$	$873 \pm 38$	$118 \pm 5$	$136 \pm 6$
6678.16	He I	$5.09 \pm 0.22$	$10.0 \pm 1.1$	$3.85 \pm 0.18$	$3.75 \pm 0.21$
6716.44	[S II]	$0.740 \pm 0.042$	$36.4 \pm 2.2$	$9.09 \pm 0.43$	$25.9 \pm 1.2$
6730.82	[S II]	$1.030 \pm 0.053$	$28.8 \pm 1.9$	$13.19 \pm 0.63$	$18.74 \pm 0.91$
7065.25	He I	$4.97 \pm 0.28$	$5.6 \pm 1.4$	$3.26 \pm 0.25$	$2.19 \pm 0.24$
7135.80	[Ar III]	$11.96 \pm 0.72$	$29.7 \pm 3.6$	$17.2 \pm 1.4$	$15.6 \pm 1.4$
7280.76	He I	$0.941 \pm 0.069$	...	$0.810 \pm 0.098$	...
7318.92	[O II]	$1.71 \pm 0.12$	$30 \pm 14$	$5.38 \pm 0.55$	...
7329.67	[O II]	$1.332 \pm 0.099$	$16 \pm 12$	$4.28 \pm 0.44$	...

**Note.** Asterisks identify blends.