

Long-term variability of the optical spectra of NGC 4151

II. Evolution of the broad H α and H β emission-line profiles

A. I. Shapovalova¹, L.Č. Popović^{2,3}, A. N. Burenkov¹, V. H. Chavushyan⁴, D. Ilić^{3,5}, A. Kovačević^{3,5}, N. G. Bochkarev⁶, and J. León-Tavares^{4,7}

¹ Special Astrophysical Observatory of the Russian AS, Nizhnij Arkhyz, Karachaevo-Cherkesia 369167, Russia e-mail: ashap@sao.ru
² Astrophysical Observatory, Valging 7, 11160 Relarado 74, Sarbia

² Astronomical Observatory, Volgina 7, 11160 Belgrade 74, Serbia

³ Isaac Newton Institute of Chile, Yugoslavia Branch

⁴ Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Apartado Postal 51, CP 72000, Puebla, México

⁵ Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

⁶ Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow, Russia

⁷ Metsähovi Radio Observatory, Helsinki University of Technology TKK, Metsähovintie 114, 02540 Kylmälä, Finland

Received 9 April 2009 / Accepted 10 October 2009

ABSTRACT

Aims. We present the results of a long-term monitoring (11 years, between 1996 and 2006) of H α and H β line variations of the active galactic nucleus of NGC 4151.

Methods. High quality spectra (S/N > 50 and $R \approx 8$ Å) of H α and H β were investigated. During monitoring period, we analyzed line profile variations. Comparing the line profiles of H α and H β , we studied different details (bumps, absorbtion features) in the line profiles. The variations in the different H α and H β line profile segments were investigated. We also analyzed the Balmer decrement for entire lines and for line segments.

Results. We found that the line profiles varied strongly during the monitoring period, and exhibited blue and red asymmetries. This is indicative of a complex BLR geometry inside NGC 4151 with, at least, three kinematically distinct regions: one that contributes to the blue line wing, one to the line core and one to the red line wing. The variation may be caused by an accelerating outflow originating very close to the black hole, where the red part may come from a region closer to the black hole than the blue part, which originates in the region with the highest outflow velocities.

Conclusions. Taking into account that the BLR of NGC 4151 has a complex geometry (probably affected by an outflow) and that a portion of the broad line emission does not seem to be produced entirely by photoionization, one may ask whether the study of the BLR using reverberation mapping would be worthwhile for this galaxy.

Key words. galaxies: active - galaxies: individual: NGC 4151

1. Introduction

In spite of many papers being devoted to the physical properties (physics and geometry, see e.g., Sulentic et al. 2000) of the broad line region (BLR) in active galactic nuclei (AGN), the true nature of the BLR is not well known. The broad emission lines, and their shapes and intensities can provide much information about the BLR geometry and physics. In the first instance, the change in the line profiles and intensities could be used to investigate the BLR nature. It is often assumed that variations in line profiles on long timescales are caused by the dynamic evolution of the BLR gas, and on short timescales by reverberation effects (Sergeev et al. 2001). In a number of papers, it has been shown that individual segments in the line profiles change independently, on both long and short timescales (e.g., Wanders & Peterson 1996; Kollatschny & Dietrich 1997; Newman et al. 1997; Sergeev et al. 1999). The broad line shapes may also provide information about the kinematics and structure of the BLR (see Popović et al. 2004).

One of the most famous and well studied Seyfert galaxies is NGC 4151 (see e.g. Ulrich 2000; Sergeev et al. 2001; Lyuty 2005, Shapovalova et al. 2008 – Paper I, and reference therein). This galaxy, and its nucleus, has been studied extensively at all wavelengths. The reverberation investigation indicates a small BLR size in the center of NGC 4151 (see e.g. Peterson & Cota 1988: 6 ± 4 l.d.; Clavel et al. 1990: 4 ± 3 l.d.; Maoz et al. 1991: 9 ± 2 l.d.; Bentz et al. 2006: $6.6^{-0.8}_{+1.1}$ l.d.).

Spectra of NGC 4151 show a P Cygni Balmer and He I absorption with an outflow velocity ranging between -500 km s^{-1} and -2000 km s^{-1} , which varies with the nuclear flux (Anderson & Kraft 1969; Anderson 1974; Sergeev et al. 2001; Hutchings et al. 2002). This material is moving outward along the line of sight, and may be anywhere beyond ~15 light-days (Ulrich & Horne 1996). An outflow is also seen in higher velocity emission-line clouds close to the nucleus (Hutchings et al. 1999), such as multiply shifted absorption lines in C IV and other UV resonance lines (Weymann et al. 1997; Crenshaw et al. 2000), while warm absorbers are detected in X-ray data (e.g., Schurch & Warwick 2002).

Some authors assumed that variable absorption is responsible, at least partially, for the observed continuum variability of AGN (Collin-Souffrin et al. 1996; Boller et al. 1997; Brandt et al. 1999; Abrassart & Czerny 2000; Risaliti et al. 2002). Czerny et al. (2003) considered that most variations are intrinsic to the source, although variable absorption cannot be quite excluded.

The nucleus of NGC 4151 also emits in the radio range. The radio image detects a 0.2 pc two-sided base to the wellknown arcsecond radio jet (Ulvestad et al. 2005). The apparent speeds of the jet components relative to the radio AGN are less than 0.050c and less than 0.028c, at nuclear distances of 0.16 and 6.8 pc, respectively. These represent the lowest speed limits yet found in a Seyfert galaxy and are indicative of non-relativistic jet motions, possibly due to thermal plasma, on a scale only an order of magnitude larger than the BLR (Ulvestad et al. 2005).

The observed evolution of the line profiles of the Balmer lines of NGC 4151 was studied by Sergeev et al. (2001) in 1988–1998 and was modeled well within the framework of the two-component model, where two variable components with fixed line profiles (double-peaked and single-peaked) were used.

Although the AGN of NGC 4151 has been well observed and discussed, there are several outstanding questions about the BLR kinematics (disk, jets, or more complex BLR) and dimensions of the innermost region. On the other hand, as mentioned above, multiwavelength observations indicate that both an accretion disk (with a high inclination) and outflow emission (absorption) are present. Consequently, further investigations of the NGC 4151 nucleus are needed to constrain the kinematics, dimensions, and geometry of its BLR.

This work proceeds Paper I and aims to study the variations in both the integrated profiles of the broad emission lines and segments along the line profiles, during the (11-year) period of monitoring of NGC 4151.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, observations and data reduction are presented. In Sect. 3, we study the averaged spectral line profiles (over years, months, and Periods I–III, see Paper I) of H α and H β , their line asymmetries and their *FWHM* variations, light curves of different line segments, and the line-segment to line-segment flux and continuumline-segment relations. In Sect. 4, we analyze the Balmer decrements. The results are discussed in Sect. 6 and in Sect. 7 we outline our conclusions.

2. Observations and data reduction

Optical spectra of NGC 4151 were taken with the 6-m and 1-m telescopes of SAO, Russia (1996–2006), with the 2.1-m telescope of the Guillermo Haro Astrophysical Observatory (GHAO) at Cananea, Sonora, México (1998–2006), and with the 2.1-m telescope of the Observatorio Astronómico Nacinal at San Pedro Martir (OAN-SMP), Baja California, México (2005–2006). They were obtained with a long-slit spectrograph equipped with CCDs. The typical wavelength range was 4000–7500 Å, the spectral resolution was R = 5-15 Å, and the *S*/*N* ratio was >50 in the continuum near H α and H β . In total, 180 blue and 137 red spectra were taken during 220 nights.

Spectrophotometric standard stars were observed each night. The spectrophotometric data reduction was carried out with either software developed at the SAO RAS by Vlasyuk (1993), or IRAF for the spectra observed in México. The image reduction process included bias subtraction, flat-field corrections, cosmic ray removal, 2D wavelength linearization, sky spectrum subtraction, addition of the spectra for every night, and relative flux calibration based on standard star observations. Spectra were scaled to the constant flux F([O III] λ 5007). More details about observations and data reduction are given in Paper I and are not repeated here.



Fig. 1. The decomposition of H β (*upper panel*) and H α (*bottom panel*) into Gaussians (below) to construct narrow line templates. The dashed Gaussians correspond to the narrow components taken for the narrow line templates, while the solid ones correspond to the broad components (*down*). The observed spectra and rms (*up*) are denoted with solid line.

The observed fluxes of the emission lines were corrected for position angle (PA), seeing, and aperture effects (see Paper I). The mean error (uncertainty) in our integral flux determinations for H α and H β and for the continuum is <3%. To study the broad components of emission lines and the main BLR characteristics, we removed from the spectra the narrow components of these lines and the forbidden lines. For this purpose, we construct spectral templates using the blue and red spectra in the minimum activity state (May 12, 2005). Both the broad and narrow components of H β and H α , were fitted with Gaussians (see Fig. 1). The template spectrum contains the following lines: for H β , the narrow component of H β and [O III] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959, 5007; and for H α the narrow component of H α , [N II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548, 6584, $[OI] \lambda\lambda 6300, 6364, [SII] \lambda\lambda 6717, 6731$. We then scaled the blue and red spectra according to our scaling scheme (see the appendix of Shapovalova et al. 2004), using the template spectrum as reference. The template spectrum and any observed spectrum are thus matched in wavelength, brought to the same resolution, and the template spectrum is then subtracted from the observed one. More details can be found in Paper I and Shapovalova et al. (2004).

3. Line profile variations

To investigate the broad line profile variations, we use the most intense broad lines in the observed spectral range, i.e., $H\alpha$ and

H β , only from spectra of spectral resolution ~8 Å. In Paper I, we defined 3 characteristic time periods (I: 1996–1999, II: 2000–2001, and III: 2002–2006) during which the line profiles of these lines were similar. Average values and rms profiles of both lines were obtained during these periods.

Here we recall some of the most important results of Paper I. In the first period (I, 1996-1999, JD 2450094.5-2451515.6), when the lines were most intense, a highly variable blue component was observed, which exhibited two peaks or shoulders at ~ -4000 km s⁻¹ and ~ -2000 km s⁻¹ in the rms H α profiles and, to a lesser degree, in H β^1 . In the second period (II, 2000-2001, JD = 2451552.6-2452238.0), the broad lines were much fainter, the feature at ~ -4000 km s⁻¹ was disappearing from the blue part of the rms profiles of both lines, and only the shoulder at $\sim -2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ was present. A faint shoulder at \sim 3500 km s⁻¹ was present in the red part of rms line profiles (see Fig. 6 in Paper I). In the third period (III, 2002-2006, JD = 2452299.4-2453846.4), a red feature (bump, shoulder) at $\sim 2500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ was clearly seen in the red part of both the mean and the rms line profiles (see Fig. 7 in Paper I). In this paper, we study the variations in the broad line profiles in more detail.

3.1. Monthly- and yearly-averaged profiles of the broad H α and H β lines

A rapid inspection of spectra shows that the broad line profiles vary negligibly within a one-month interval. On the other hand, in this time-interval, a slight variation in the broad line flux is evident (usually around ~5–10%, except in some cases when it is up to 30%). Therefore we constructed the monthly-averaged line profiles (see Fig. 2) of H α and H β .

The broad H α and H β line profiles did not vary significantly within a one-year period (or even during several years), while the broad line fluxes sometimes varied by factors ~2–2.5 even within one year. The smallest flux variations (factors ~1.1–1.3) were observed in 1996–1998 (during the line flux maximum). The largest line flux variations were observed in 2000–2001 and 2005 (factors ~1.7–2.5), during the minimum of activity.

As mentioned in Paper I, specific line profiles are observed during the three periods, but a more detailed inspection of the line profiles shows that slight changes can also be seen between the yearly-averaged profiles (see Fig. 3). We note here that in the central part of the H α profiles one can often see considerable residuals (e.g., in 2001 in the form of peaks) because of poor subtraction of the bright narrow components of H α and [N II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548, 6584 (at $V_r \sim -680 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and ~960 km s⁻¹). Therefore, we cannot conclude anything about the presence of absorption in the central part of H α . But, in the H β profiles (in the central part, at $V_r \sim -430 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; ~-370 km s⁻¹) an absorption, especially strong from June 1999 to the end of 2000 (see Fig. 2), was detected. We note here that Hutchings et al. (2002) also found absorbtion at $V_r(-2000-0) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the H β line.

We now note some noticeable features in monthly-averaged line profiles:

1. in 1996–2001, a blue peak (bump) in H β and a shoulder in H α were clearly seen at ~-2000 km s⁻¹ (Fig. 2). However, in 2002–2004, the blue wing of both lines became steeper than the red one and it did not contain any noticeable features;

- 2. in 2005 (May–June), when the nucleus of NGC 4151 was experiencing its minimum of activity, the line profiles had a double-peak structure with two distinct peaks (bumps) at radial velocities of (-2586; +2027) km s⁻¹ in H β and (-1306; +2339) km s⁻¹ in H α (see Fig. 2; 2005_05 and 2005_06). In principle, the two-peak structure in the H β profiles is also seen in the spectra of 1999–2001: at $V_r \sim$ -1500 km s⁻¹ the blue and $V_r \sim$ 500 km s⁻¹ the red peak. However, in this case the blue peak may be caused by a broad absorption line at the radial velocity (~-400 km s⁻¹);
- 3. in 2006, the line profiles changed dramatically the blue wing became flatter than in previous periods, while the red wing was very steep without any feature at $V_r > 2300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$;
- 4. In 2002, a distinct peak (bump) appeared in the red wing of the H α and H β lines at the radial velocity $V_r \sim 3000$ km s⁻¹. The radial velocity of the red peak decreases: in 2002–2003, it corresponds to ~3100 km s⁻¹ and in 2006 to ~2100 km s⁻¹. This effect is clearly seen in Fig. 4, especially in the H α line profile. Table 1 provides the obtained radial velocities of red peak measured in the H α and H β profiles for which the peak was clearly seen. Radial velocities of the H α and H β red peak, measured over the same period, are similar (the differences are within the errorbars of measurements). The mean radial velocity of the red peak decreased by ~1000 km s⁻¹ from 2002 to 2006 (Table 1). It is unclear whether the red peak is shifting along the line profile or it disappears and again appears as a new red peak at another velocity.

3.2. The absorption and emission features in H $\!\alpha$ and H $\!\beta$ line profiles

As mentioned above (Sect. 3.1), the H α and H β line profiles have both absorption and emission features, and distinguishing between these features is very difficult. The question is are the "bumps" an intrinsic property of the broad H α and H β lines or do they just strengthen the absorption features? Furthermore, an open question what is the origin of these features, i.e., is there an intrinsic mechanism in the BLR that creates these "bumps"? We should mention here that in the central part of both lines, the residuals of the narrow components can affect these relatively weak absorption/emission features.

To study it, the residuals between some H β broad lines with prominent (noticeable) bumps from in particular two successive months were obtained. An example of these residuals are presented in Fig. 5. The noticeable residual bumps (without an absorption-like feature) at V_r from (-2000) km s⁻¹ to (-1000) km s⁻¹ in 1999–2001, and at V_r from 3500 km s⁻¹ to 2500 km s⁻¹ in 2002–2006 are seen well. It seems that the absorption changes slowly and during several next months remains constant. Therefore, this absorption disappears in profile residuals (see Fig. 5). Consequently, it seems that emission bumps observed in the H β and H α line profiles (seen in the residuals in Fig. 5) are mostly an intrinsic property of the broad emissionline profile.

The strong absorption features are also present in 1996–2001 H β spectra. In this period, we observed the dip and broad absorption at radial velocity that changes from ~-1000 km s⁻¹ in 1996–1998 to ~-400 km s⁻¹ in 1999–2000 (see Fig. 2). This velocity corresponds to the minimum of the absorption band, but its blue edge extends to higher velocities (~-1800 km s⁻¹ in 1998 and ~-1170 in 1999–2000). Figure 6 shows some observed individual spectra and their broad

¹ Here and after in the text the radial velocities are given with respect to the corresponding narrow components of H α or H β , i.e. it is accepted that $V_r = 0$ for the narrow components of H α and H β .



Fig. 2. The monthly-averaged profiles of the H α and H β broad emission lines in the period 1996–2006. The abscissae shows the radial velocities relative to the narrow component of H α or H β . The ordinate shows the flux in units of 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

component where the blue absorption is well resolved. The blueshifted absorption probably originates from outflowing material. Hutchings et al. (2002), who found the same trend that the outflow velocity increases with the continuum flux.

It is interesting to note that the higher radial velocity (\sim -1000 km s⁻¹, observed in 1996–1998) appears at a higher continuum flux level, while the smaller velocity (\sim -400 km s⁻¹) was measured when the continuum flux had decreased 3–6 times, i.e., we confirm the results reported by

3.3. Asymmetry of the broad H α and H β line profiles

We measured the full width at half maximum (*FWHM*) of the broad lines from their monthly-averaged profiles and determined



Fig. 2. continued.

the asymmetry (A) as a ratio of the red to blue parts of FWHM, i.e., $A = W_{red}/W_{blue}$, where W_{red} and W_{blue} are the red and blue half-widths at maximal intensity (see Fig. 7) with respect to the position of the narrow component of H α and H β . As we mentioned above, there are residuals at the center of the H α and H β lines due to residuals from the subtraction of narrow components, which can affect the FWHM and A measurements. Therefore, we first smoothed the line profiles, to avoid artificial peaks from the residuals (see Fig. 7), before measuring the *FWHM* and asymmetry. The two independent measurements of FWHM and A were performed. We determined the averaged continuum and its dispersion for each month and the averaged Julian date from the spectra, which were used to construct the monthly-averaged profiles. The measurements of the FWHM, asymmetry, and continuum during the entire period of monitoring (1996–2006) are presented in Table 2 and in Fig. 8. In

Table 2, we give average values of the *FWHM* and A from two independent measurements and their dispersions.

The *FWHM* of H β was almost always larger than that of H α (see upper panel of Fig. 8). The asymmetry of both lines (middle panel of Fig. 8) gradually increased between 1996 and 2006 and it slightly anticorrelates with the variations in the continuum (bottom panel in Fig. 8). The largest values of the *FWHM* and an outstanding red asymmetry of both lines (A > 1.2) was observed in 2002–2006. We calculated average values of the *FWHM* and A each year, as well as for the whole monitoring period (they are given in Table 2). *FWHM*s and asymmetries obtained in this work from measurements of the monthly-average profiles are similar to the results given in Paper I and difference between them are within the error bars. As one can see from Table 2, the *FWHM* of both lines varied rather considerably from year to year ($\Delta FWHM \sim 500-1500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). These lines were at their narrowest in 2000–2001 (*FWHM* $\sim 4000-5000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$)



Fig. 3. The yearly-averaged profiles (solid line) and their rms (dashed line) of the H α and H β broad emission lines in 1996–2006. The abscissae (OX) shows the radial velocities relative to the narrow component of the H α or H β line. The ordinate (OY) shows the flux in units of 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

and their broadest in 2005 (~7000 km s⁻¹) (see Table 2). At the same time, the H β *FWHM* was always broader than H α by on average ~1000 km s⁻¹. The asymmetry varied in different ways: in 1996–1997, the blue asymmetry was observed in H β ($A \sim 0.85$) when the continuum flux was at its maximum; in 1998–2000, H β was almost symmetric, and from 2001 to 2006 the red asymmetry appeared (A > 1.2). In 1996–2001, a blue symmetry ($A \sim 0.8$) was observed in H α , and in 2002–2006 a red asymmetry (A > 1.2) was observed.

We also tried to identify correlations of both the FWHM and A with the continuum flux, and found that the FWHM

practically does not correlate with the continuum level ($r \sim 0.0; -0.1$). In the case of the asymmetry A, there is an indication of anticorrelation, but it should also be interpreted with caution since there is a large scatter of points in the A versus continuum flux plane, especially in the case of low continuum fluxes $F_c < 5.3 \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹ when the measured asymmetry reaches its highest values. We note that a photoinoization model predicts that the Balmer lines should be broader in the lower continuum states and narrower in the higher continuum states (see Korista & Goad 2004), since, because of the greater response of the line cores, one can expect that Balmer lines

Table 1. The peak shifts in the red wing of the H α and H β lines.

	** /** \		
$H\alpha$	$V_r(H\alpha)$	Hβ	$V_r(H\beta)$
year_month	$\rm kms^{-1}$	year_month	$\rm kms^{-1}$
		2001b_11	3257
2002_1_3	3068	2002_1_3	3257
2002_4_5	3114	2002_4_6	3196
2002r_06	3068		
2002r_12	2977	2002b_12	3073
mean 2002	3057 ± 57	mean 2001_11 + 2002	3197 ± 94
2003r_01	2886	2003b_01	3073
2003r_05	2613	2003b_03	3012
2003r_06	2613	2003_5_6	2889
mean 2003	2704 ± 158	mean 2003	2991 ± 94
2004r_12	2339	2004b_12	2273
2005r_02	2294	2005_1_2	2027
2005r_04	2294	2005_5_6	2027
2005r_06	2339	2005_11_12	2338
2006_1_2	2430	2006b_01	2335
		2006b_02	2335
2006r_03	2202	2006b_03	2150
2006r_04	2294	2006b_04	2027
mean 2004-2006	2313 ± 69	mean 2004–2006	2189 ± 147
maximal shift ΔV	$V_r(H\alpha + H\beta) =$	$= (1072 \pm 226) \text{ km s}^{-1}$	

Columns: 1 – year and month for H α (e.g., 2002_1_3 = 2002, January and March); 2 – $V_r(H\alpha)$, H α peak velocity in the red wing (km s⁻¹); 3 – year and month for H β ; 4 – $V_r(H\beta)$, H β peak velocity in the red wing (km s⁻¹). The line after each year (or interval of years) gives the mean $V_r(H\alpha)$ and $V_r(H\beta)$ and their standard deviations. The last line gives the maximal shift of the red peak (ΔV_r) from 2002 to 2006.



Fig. 4. Some examples of monthly-averaged profiles of the H α and H β broad emission lines from 2000 to 2006. The abscissae shows the radial velocities relative to the narrow component of H α or H β . The vertical dashed lines correspond to radial velocities: -2600 km s^{-1} , 0 km s⁻¹, and 3000 km s⁻¹. The profiles are shifted vertically by a constant value.

become narrower in higher continuum states. As one can see from Table 2, there is no trend for *FWHM* to be significantly narrower in the high continuum state.

3.4. Light curves of different line segments

In Paper I, we obtained light curves of the integrated flux in lines and continuum. To study the BLR in more detail, we start in this paper by assuming that a portion of the broad line profile can respond to variations in the continuum in different ways. Therefore, we divided the line profiles into 11 identical profile segments, each of width $\sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Table 3).

The observational uncertainties were determined for each segment of the H β and H α light curves. In evaluating the uncertainties, we account for errors due to: the position angle correction, seeing correction procedure, and aperture correction. The methods for evaluating these uncertainties (errorbars) are given in Paper I. The effect of the subtraction of the template spectrum (or the narrow components) was studied by comparing the flux of pairs of spectra obtained in the time interval from 0 to 2 days. In Table 4, we presented the yearly-averaged uncertainties (in percent) for each segment of H α and H β and mean values for all segments and corresponding mean-year flux. To determine the errorbars, we used 44 pairs of H α and 68 pairs of H β . As one can see from Table 4, for the far wings (segments ± 5) the errorbars are greater (~10%) in H β than in H α (~6%). But when comparing the errorbars in the far red and blue wings of each lines, we find that the errorbars are similar. Larger errorbars can also be seen in the central part of the H α due to the narrow line subtraction. Figure 9 shows the distributions of the errorbars as a function of the line flux for segments ha \pm 5, ha0, and ha \pm 1. It can be seen that in the case of ha0 and ha+1, there is a slight anticorrelation with flux and two points, corresponding to very low flux ($F < 4 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in 2005), have the highest errorbar of (40-70)%.

We constructed light curves for each segment of the H α and H β lines. Figure 10 presents light curves of profile segments in approximately identical velocity intervals in the blue and red line wings (segments from 1 to 5, where a higher number corresponds to a higher velocity, see Table 3) and for the central part (0 in Table 3 or H α _c, H β _c in Fig. 10, corresponding to the interval ~±500 km s⁻¹). To compare the segment variation with the continuum, we plot (as a solid line) the continuum flux variation in the central part (see Fig. 10).

In 1996–1997, the blue segments 2 and 3 were slightly brighter than the red ones, while the segments 1, 4, and 5 were similar to the red ones. In 1998–2001, the blue segments 4 and 5 ($3500-5500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which are the regions the closest to the BH) and the segment 3 (in 2002–2006) of both lines were essentially fainter than the red ones. In 1998–2004, the blue segments 1 and 2 ($500-3500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) were close to the corresponding red ones or slightly fainter (see Fig. 10).

3.5. The line-segment to line-segment flux and continuum-line-segment relations

The line-segment to line-segment flux and continuum-linesegment relations for the H α and H β lines are practically identical, therefore here we present only results for the H α line. First, we search for relations between the H α segments that are symmetric with respect to the center (i.e., segments -1, and 1, -2 and $2, \dots -5$ and 5). In Fig. 11, we present the response of symmetrical segments of H α to each other for the three periods given in Paper I. As can be seen from Fig. 11, the symmetric segments are quite strongly correlated, with some notable exceptions: a) weaker response of the red to the blue wing in the II and III period and b) the apparent bifurcation in the Ha2/Ha-2 and Ha3/Ha-3 plots. This appears to be associated with Period III, related to the appearance of the 3000 km s⁻¹ "red bump". This also implies that lines are probably formed in a multi-component BLR and that the geometry of the BLR changed during the monitoring period.



Fig. 5. The H β broad emission lines of NGC 4151 in particular for two consecutive months where bumps were strong (red and blue line). Their residual is also given (black line below).



Fig. 6. The absorption seen in years 1996, 1998, 1999, and 2000 (*from* top to bottom). The H β line after subtraction of the narrow lines is shown with solid lines. The vertical line indicates the radial velocity of -400 km s^{-1} .

Additionally, in Fig. 12 we present the response of different H α segments to the continuum flux. As can be seen, the response differ: in the far wings, the response to the continuum is almost linear for the red wing (segments 4 and 5) and for a fraction of the blue wing (segment -4), but for the far blue wing (-5500 to -4500 km s⁻¹), there is practically no response for $F_c < 7 \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹. For higher continuum fluxes, the far blue wing has a higher flux, but it also seems that there is no linear relation between the line wing and the continuum flux. On the other hand, the central segments (from -3500 to 3500 km s⁻¹) have a response to the continuum similar to that of the H β and H α total line fluxes (see Paper I) - a linear response for the low continuum flux (see Fuper 1) – a linear error $(F_c < 7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1})$ and no linear response for the high continuum flux ($F_c > 7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$). The linear response indicates that this part of the line (red wings and central segments at the low continuum flux) originates in the part of the BLR ionized by the AGN source, while the blue and partly central part of the line could partly originate in a substructure outside this BLR (and probably not photoionized). We note here that the photoionization in the case of a mix of a thin (fully ionized) and thick (ionization-bounded) clouds can explain the observed non-linear response of emission lines to the continuum in variable as seen in the central parts of broad lines (see Shields et al. 1995), i.e., in the case of the optically thick BLR the detailed photoionization models show that the response of the Balmer lines declines as the continuum flux increases (see Goad et al. 2004; Korista & Goad 2004). In our case, we found that the flux in the wings (except the far blue wing) has an almost linear response to the continuum flux, while the central parts have a non-linear response to the higher continuum flux. The response to the continuum flux of the far blue wing (-5)and far red wing (+5) is also very different, which may be indicative of different physical conditions in subregions or across the BLR.

In Fig. 13, the flux of different H α segments as a function of the flux of the central segment (H α 0) are presented. It can be seen that there are different relations between different H α segments and H α 0: for segments close to the center (H α -1, H α -2, H α 1, and H α 2) the relation is almost linear, indicating that the core of the line originates in the same substructures (Fig. 13); segments in the H α wings (the near blue wing H α -3 and the red wing H α 3, H α 4, and H α 5) also show a linear response to the central segment (but the scatter of the points is larger than in the previous case), which also indicates that a portion of the emission in the center and in these segments originates in the same emission region. In contrast, the far blue wing (H α -4 and H α -5) responds weakly to the variation in the line center, especially H α -5, which exhibits practically independent variations with respect to the central segment.



Fig. 7. An example of the *FWHM* and asymmetry measurements. The observed spectra is denoted by the dashed line and the smoothed spectra by the solid line.



Fig. 8. Variations in the *FWHM* (upper panel), asymmetry (middle panel) in H α (denoted with crosses) and H β (denoted with plus) broad lines, and of the continuum flux at $\lambda 5100$ Å (bottom panel) in 1996–2006. The abscissae shows the Julian date (bottom) and the corresponding year (up). The continuum flux is given in 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

4. The Balmer decrement

4.1. Integral Balmer decrement

From monthly-averaged profiles of the broad H α and H β lines, we determined their integrated fluxes to be in the range

between -5500 and +5500 km s⁻¹ in radial velocity. We call the "integral Balmer decrement" (BD), the integrated flux ratio $F(\text{H}\alpha)/F(\text{H}\beta)$.

Figure 14 shows the behavior of the integrated BD (upper panel) and continuum flux at the wavelength 5100 Å (bottom panel). In 1999–2006, an anticorrelation between the changes of the integrated BD and continuum flux was observed, which was especially noticeable in 1999–2001.

Table 5 presents yearly-averaged values of the BD and continuum flux determined from monthly-averaged profiles for each year.

We found that in 1996–1998 the continuum flux was high and varied within the limits given by $F_c \sim (6-12) \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹, but the BD was practically unchanged. In Paper I, we already noted the absence of correlation between the continuum flux and the integrated flux of the broad lines for the above-mentioned flux values. We also found (see Fig. 14) that the Balmer decrement is systematically higher in 1999–2001.

Table 5 also provides the average data of the BD and continuum flux for the periods of 1996–1998, 1999, 2000–2001, and 2002–2006. It is evident that in the period of 1996–1998 the BD did not vary within the error bars in spite of strong variations in the continuum. Radical changes (the increase in the BD) started in 1999.

The BD reached its maximum in 2000–2001. In 2002–2006, the average values of the BD then coincided with those of 1996–1998. Thus, it can be concluded with confidence that from 1999 to 2001 we observed an obvious increase in the BD.

Table 2. The <i>FWHM</i> and asymmetry	of the H α and H β broad emission	lines in the period of 1996-2006.

Year month	JD	FWHM H $\beta \pm \sigma$	$A H\beta \pm \sigma$	FWHM $H\alpha \pm \sigma$	$A H\alpha \pm \sigma$	$F(5100) \pm \sigma$
	2 400 000+	$km s^{-1}$		$km s^{-1}$		$10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1996b_01	50096.0	6860 ± 566	0.904 ± 0.043	5332 ± 324	0.799 ± 0.030	9.031 ± 0.322
1996b_03	50163.4	6182 ± 303	0.877 ± 0.069	5036 ± 291	0.782 ± 0.021	11.219 ± 0.617
1996b_04	50200.8	6183 ± 479	0.877 ± 0.072	_		9.226 ± 0.527
1996b_06	50249.3	6028 ± 434	0.797 ± 0.060	_	-	12.641 -
1996b_07	50277.8	6367 ± 479	0.783 ± 0.048	5058 ± 259	0.762 ± 0.011	11.822 ± 0.473
1996b_11	50402.6	5659 ± 348	0.896 ± 0.035	-	-	10.649 -
1997b_03	50510.9	5568 ± 305	0.884 ± 0.048	4808 ± 420	0.853 ± 0.019	8.350 ± 0.716
1997b_04	50547.9	5567 ± 218	0.946 ± 0.046	4512 ± 323	0.854 ± 0.005	6.897 ± 0.375
1996-1997		6051 ± 449	0.871 ± 0.054	4959 ± 307	0.810 ± 0.042	9.979±1.950
1998b_01	50838.0	5844 ± 173	1.159 ± 0.005	4831 ± 323	0.947 ± 0.050	6.280 ± 0.277
1998b_02	50867.4	6059 ± 130	1.189 ± 0.021	-	-	6.219 -
1998r_04	50934.5	-	-	5058 ± 259	0.981 ± 0.051	6.387 -
1998b_05	50940.4	6489 ± 42	1.131 ± 0.016	5150 ± 388	0.933 ± 0.071	6.049 ± 0.385
1998b_06	50988.3	6458 ± 86	1.059 ± 0.029	4922 ± 323	0.931 ± 0.073	7.974 ± 0.776
19980_07	51025.3	6214 ± 88	1.082 ± 0.000	$4/62 \pm 549$	0.935 ± 0.067	0.205 - 4.075 + 1.011
1998_11-12	51148.0	6275 ± 1	1.062 ± 0.028	4094 ± 387	0.909 ± 0.033	$4.9/5 \pm 1.011$
1998	51000 (6223 ± 243	1.114 ± 0.034	4903 ± 170	0.939 ± 0.024	0.298 ± 0.880
1999b_01	51202.6	5967 ± 174	1.063 ± 0.001	4831 ± 194	0.863 ± 0.079	6.245 ± 0.243
19990_02 1000b_03	51225.1	$5/21 \pm 1/4$ $5/75 \pm 261$	0.937 ± 0.031	4208 + 201	$-$ 0.010 \pm 0.045	5.875 ± 0.238
19990_03 1000b_04	51200.5	5475 ± 201	1.043 ± 0.004 1.011 + 0.078	4398 ± 291	0.910 ± 0.043	5.795 ± 0.290 5.207 ± 0.146
19990_04 1000b_06	51201.5	5307 ± 210 5352 ± 522	1.011 ± 0.078 1.173 ± 0.058	4010 ± 300	0.939 ± 0.001	5.397 ± 0.140 2 753
19990_00 1000b_12	51515.6	5352 ± 522 5106 ± 600	1.175 ± 0.038 1.023 ± 0.032	-	-0.836 + 0.045	$2.755 - 2.755 - 2.782 \pm 0.016$
19990_12	51515.0	5100 ± 009 5531 + 298	1.025 ± 0.032 1.045 ± 0.072	4903 ± 337	0.892 ± 0.043	4 807+1 603
2000b_01	51553.6	5551 ± 270	-	$\frac{4010 \pm 337}{4010 \pm 388}$	0.352 ± 0.054 0.744 + 0.041	4 155 -
20000 - 01 2000 1 2	51555.0	4890 ± 566	0.960 ± 0.124	+010 ± 500	-	3868 ± 0406
2000_1_2 2000b_02	51589.5	4767 ± 566	1.036 ± 0.090	4056 + 194	0.801 ± 0.055	3.581 ± 0.029
2000b_06	51711.3	_	_	6244 ± 775	1.014 ± 0.162	1.434 ± 0.115
2000 6 7	51728.6	6336 ± 262	1.423 ± 0.060	_	_	1.974 ± 0.764
2000b 11	51874.1	3968 ± 739	1.014 ± 0.020	_	_	1.778 ± 0.177
2000b_12	51883.6	3383 ± 783	1.353 ± 0.096	2985 ± 871	0.805 ± 0.013	1.427 ± 0.09
2001b_02	51947.9	6367 ± 914	1.523 ± 0.015	4603 ± 646	0.907 ± 0.106	1.538 ± 0.320
2001b_11	52238.0	6152 ± 261	1.127 ± 0.027	_	_	3.331 ± 0.007
2000-2001		5123 ± 1199	1.205 ± 0.224	4380 ± 1195	0.854 ± 0.107	2.565±1.193
2002b_01	52299.4	6183 ± 217	1.284 ± 0.006	4785 ± 903	0.957 ± 0.134	3.680 -
2002r_03	52345.8	_	-	4603 ± 775	1.173 ± 0.124	3.348 ± 0.862
2002_3_4	52357.3	6060 ± 479	1.706 ± 0.239	_	_	3.645 ± 0.420
2002r_04	52368.7	-	-	5150 ± 838	1.196 ± 0.076	3.942 -
2002b_05	52398.7	6336 ± 173	1.369 ± 0.050	5605 ± 581	1.203 ± 0.005	3.283 ± 0.021
2002b_06	52439.0	7012 ± 521	1.285 ± 0.088	5582 ± 226	1.414 ± 0.115	3.903 ± 0.292
2002b_12	52621.0	6121 ± 305	1.489 ± 0.051	5970 ± 387	1.374 ± 0.129	3.467 ± 0.157
2002		6490 ± 465	1.427 ± 0.177	5283 ± 528	1.220 ± 0.163	3.629 ± 0.243
2003b_01	52665.9	5905 ± 348	1.710 ± 0.112	5651 ± 129	1.373 ± 0.117	5.072 -
2003b_03	52723.8	6336 ± 434	1.344 ± 0.066	-	-	4.541 -
2003b_05	52777.1	5906 ± 87	1.559 ± 0.009	5287 ± 324	1.260 ± 0.027	5.283 ± 0.205
2003b_06	52813.7	5660 ± 349	1.630 ± 0.050	5013 ± 388	1.163 ± 0.038	4.184 -
2003b_11	52966.8	5505 ± 217	1.358 ± 0.082	-	-	3.478 ± 0.193
20036_12	52995.6	5414 ± 523	1.352 ± 0.083	-	-	3.515 -
2003	52010 5	$5/88 \pm 336$	1.492 ± 0.162	5317 ± 320	1.265 ± 0.105	4.346±0.763
2004b_01	53019.5	5351 ± 261	1.450 ± 0.124	- 5970 · 290	-	5.851 - 2.022 + 0.105
2004b_12	53349.0	$0889 \pm 1/3$	$1.240 \pm 0.05/$	$38/9 \pm 389$	1.422 ± 0.076	2.932 ± 0.103
2004	52402 9	0120 ± 1088	1.348 ± 0.153	$38/9 \pm 389$	1.422 ± 0.076	5.392±0.650
2005_1_2	53402.8	1013 ± 183	1.332 ± 0.076	6506 - 256	1 250 + 0.072	2.218 ± 0.308
2005b_02	53417.5 52505 2	- 8205 - 262	-	0380 ± 330	1.339 ± 0.072 1.265 ± 0.105	2.040 -
20030_03 2005h_04	52525 0	0303 ± 202	1.095 ± 0.043	0002 ± 302	1.203 ± 0.103 1.100 ± 0.059	2.140 ± 0.037 1.841 ± 0.142
20030_00 2005h 11	53555.U 53704.0	0421 ± 348 6050 ± 120	0.937 ± 0.041 1 402 ± 0.021	1033 ± 337	1.100 ± 0.038	1.041 ± 0.142 3 567
20050_11 20055 12	53704.0	5067 ± 88	1.702 ± 0.031 1 305 ± 0.007	_	_	2.307 - 2.911 + 0.177
20030_12	55111.5	5707 ± 00	1.575 ± 0.007	-		2./11 ± 0.1//

Table 2. continued.

Year_month	JD	FWHM $H\beta \pm \sigma$	$A H\beta \pm \sigma$	<i>FWHM</i> $H\alpha \pm \sigma$	$A \operatorname{H} \alpha \pm \sigma$	$F(5100) \pm \sigma$
	2400000+	$\rm km \ s^{-1}$		$\rm km~s^{-1}$		$10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2005		7154 ± 1180	1.236 ± 0.200	7034 ± 540	1.241 ± 0.131	2.953±0.656
2006b_01	53762.4	6797 ± 218	1.188 ± 0.021	6015 ± 710	1.449 ± 0.202	1.939 ± 0.179
2006b_02	53788.0	6582 ± 174	1.161 ± 0.027	5925 ± 518	1.403 ± 0.145	1.926 ± 0.016
2006b_03	53816.9	6704 ± 86	1.117 ± 0.002	5446 ± 613	1.546 ± 0.222	2.894 ± 0.293
2006b_04	53845.1	5691 ± 305	1.373 ± 0.044	5401 ± 356	1.480 ± 0.069	3.390 ± 0.103
2006		6444 ± 509	1.210 ± 0.113	5697 ± 318	1.470 ± 0.060	2.537±0.727
mean 96-06		6021 ± 851	1.187 ± 0.237	5164 ± 873	1.072 ± 0.245	
Paper I		6110 ± 440	1.056 ± 0.018	4650 ± 420	1.00 ± 0.023	

Columns: 1 – year and month (e.g., 1996_01 = 1996_January); 2 – Julian date (JD); 3 – the *FWHM* of H β ; 4 – the asymmetry of H β ; 5 – the *FWHM* of H α ; 6 – the asymmetry of H α ; 7 – *F*(5100), the continuum flux at λ 5100 Å in units of 10⁻¹⁴ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹ and σ (cnt), the estimated continuum flux error in the same units.

Table 3. The beginning and end radial velocities, V_{beg} and V_{end} , in km s⁻¹ for different segments of the line profiles.

Segment V _r	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0(C)	+1	+2	+3	+4	+5
$V_{\rm beg}$	-5500	-4500	-3500	-2500	-1500	-500	500	1500	2500	3500	4500
Vend	-4500	-3500	-2500	-1500	-500	500	1500	2500	3500	4500	5500

4.2. Balmer decrement of different profile segments

From monthly-averaged profiles of H α and H β , we determined the BD for the segments of Table 3. Figure 15 shows the BD variation in a 2D plane (year– V_r), and Fig. 16 indicates the changes in the BD of different segments of the profiles during the whole monitoring period.

It can be seen from Figs. 15 and 16 that in 2000–2001 (JD = 51 200–52 300) the values of the BD in different segments were always on average noticeably larger than in the other years, and the blue wing had always on average a noticeably larger BD than the red one. In 1996–1998, BDs of the blue and red wings practically coincided, and in 2002–2006, they either coincided or the BD of the blue wing was slightly larger. During the entire monitoring period, the value of the BD along the line profile in central segments (in segments $0,\pm1$ corresponding to the velocity range from -1500 km s^{-1} to 1500 km s^{-1}) were considerably larger (by ~1.5) on average than at the periphery (segments from ± 2 to ± 5 corresponding to the velocity range from $((-5500)-(-1500) \text{ km s}^{-1})$ to $(+5500)-(+1500) \text{ km s}^{-1})$).

4.2.1. Balmer decrement variations as a function of the radial velocity

As an illustration of the variation in the Balmer decrement with the radial velocity during the monitoring period, we show in Fig. 17 the BD as a function of the radial velocity. Since the BD versus velocity remains the same throughout a year, we provide a few examples only for monthly-averaged spectra for each year of the monitoring period.

On the other hand, the behavior of the Balmer decrement as a function of the radial velocity differs between different years. As a rule, in 1996–1998 the maximum of the BD occurred at ~-1000 km s⁻¹, while the BD slowly decreased in the velocity range from 0 to 1000 km s⁻¹, and sharply decreased in the region >±1000 km s⁻¹, usually more strongly in the region of negative velocity. We recall here the results obtained from the photoionization model of Korista & Goad (2004) where they found velocity-dependent variations in the Balmer decrement.

They found that the Balmer decrement is steeper in the line core than in line wings, as we obtained in some cases (see Fig. 17), but it is interesting that in all periods this peak is offset from the central part to the blue side and also that there are several cases where the Balmer decrement is steeper in the wings. On the other hand, if the velocity field is dominated by a central massive object, one can expect to observe a symmetrical BD in the blue and red part of velocity field (Korista & Goad 2004). In our case, we obtained different asymmetrical shapes of the BD versus velocity field. The BD seems to have a systematic change in behavior starting around 2002 - i.e., corresponding to period III, when the "red bump" appears, showing two maxima in the BD versus velocity field. This may indicate that the velocity field of the BLR is not dominated by a central massive black hole, i.e., that it favors some kind of stream of the emitting material such as e.g., outflow or inflow.

In 1999–2001, the maximum values of the BD ~(6-8) were observed in the velocity region ±1000 km s⁻¹, while a steeper decrease in the BD was more often observed in the region of the positive velocity. The change in the BD relative to the radial velocity in 2002–2006 differed strongly from those in 1996–2001. In these years, 2 peaks (bumps) were observed in the BD distribution: one at radial velocities from –2000 to –1000 with larger values of the BD, and a second at +3000 km s⁻¹ with somewhat smaller (by ~(0.5-1.0)) values of the BD.

4.3. Balmer decrement and helium line ratio

To probe the physical conditions of the BLR, we studied the flux ratio of He II λ 4686 and HeI λ 5876 broad lines. From all the available spectra, we selected only 21 spectra where the broad helium lines could be precisely measured, and where the two helium lines were observed during the same night. In the case of minimum activity, we note the broad component of the He II λ 4686 line could not be detected at all.

We use the helium lines He II λ 4686 and He I λ 5876, since these two lines originates from two different ionization stages and, thus, are very sensitive to changes in the electron temperature and density of the emitting region (Griem 1997).

Table 4. The errors in measurements $(e\pm\sigma)$ for all line segments (see Table 3) of H α and H β given in percent. For each segment, the mean-year flux is also given in units 10^{-13} erg cm⁻²s⁻¹.

Year	Н	a(-5)	Н	a(+5)	Н	a(-4)	Н	a(+4)	Н	[a(-3)]	H	a(+3)
icui	Flux	$(e+\sigma)$	Flux	$(e+\sigma)$	Flux	$(e + \sigma)$	Flux	$(e + \sigma)$	Flux	$(e+\sigma)$	Flux	$(e+\sigma)$
1996	6 327	96+97	7.052	$\frac{(0 \pm 0)}{133 \pm 105}$	12 456	$\frac{(0 \pm 0)}{99 \pm 59}$	12 494	$\frac{(c \pm c)}{117 + 71}$	22 736	91+68	17 722	10.9 + 7.1
1997	3 904	111 + 61	4 950	97 ± 0.8	8 100	67 + 88	10.048	97 + 38	18 741	67 ± 9.0	16 634	91 + 53
1998	2,497	11.7 ± 0.1 11.7 ± 4.2	4 516	72 + 66	6 381	5.4 ± 2.4	8 904	74 + 48	16 570	32 + 29	16 518	46 + 47
1999	2.030	14.7 ± 18.3	5 1 3 3	62 + 38	5 649	2.1 ± 2.1	8 776	33 + 11	16.851	2.2 ± 2.9	16 470	2.1 ± 1.0
2000	1.647	5.3 ± 4.3	3.460	4.3 + 3.5	3.561	4.4 + 4.4	5.570	4.2 + 3.5	9.204	4.2 ± 3.8	7.770	3.8 + 3.6
2001	2.251	5.5 ± 5.3	2.906	7.3 + 6.2	3.671	4.7 ± 6.0	5.220	5.9 ± 6.1	7.249	7.3 ± 6.0	7.760	8.1 + 7.0
2002	3.686	3.5 ± 0.4	3.016	3.0 ± 1.3	5.041	2.7 ± 2.4	6.443	4.9 ± 5.3	7.792	3.6 ± 1.4	10.742	4.1 ± 5.1
2003	3.004	5.7 ± 5.0	4.186	2.9 ± 1.7	4.447	4.9 ± 2.4	7.560	3.4 ± 1.3	9.104	4.0 ± 2.3	14.034	2.9 ± 2.1
2004	3.261	3.2 ± 0.8	2.722	3.3 ± 1.8	4.340	6.1 ± 2.3	5.299	1.9 ± 1.3	6.619	5.7 ± 2.4	9.922	3.9 ± 2.1
2005	2.908	4.3 ± 1.3	2.350	7.1 ± 6.7	4.122	4.4 ± 3.4	4.687	5.9 ± 6.2	5.756	4.5 ± 3.1	8.575	5.5 ± 4.3
2006	2.906	1.7 ± 0.4	1.938	1.7 ± 1.7	3.979	3.0 ± 2.7	4.115	3.0 ± 1.9	5.784	2.7 ± 2.8	10.459	1.9 ± 1.3
mean		6.9 ± 4.1		6.0 ± 3.4		4.9 ± 2.2		5.6 ± 3.0		4.8 ± 2.1		5.2 ± 3.0
Year	Н	b(-5)	Н	b(+5)	Н	b(4)	Н	b(+4)	Н	(b(-3)	H	0(+3)
	Flux	$(e \pm \sigma)$	Flux	$(e \pm \sigma)$	Flux	$(e \pm \sigma)$	Flux	$(e \pm \sigma)$	Flux	$(e \pm \sigma)$	Flux	$(e \pm \sigma)$
1996	2.511	3.2 ± 0.17	2.535	6.5 ± 5.3	4.965	7.0 ± 5	4.470	7.1 ± 1.5	8.003	7.6 ± 6.1	5.838	6.6 ± 2.5
1997	1.303	17.8 ± 2.1	1.507	11.6 ± 2.5	3.134	4.8 ± 5.9	3.813	4.7 ± 2.0	6.391	3.5 ± 2.0	5.381	2.4 ± 0.6
1998	0.923	13.0 ± 9.7	1.735	5.4 ± 2.4	2.393	4.7 ± 3.9	3.318	4.1 ± 2.5	5.268	3.0 ± 1.6	5.648	2.1 ± 1.9
1999	1.215	19.3 ± 14.0	1.594	12.1 ± 10.2	1.674	11.1 ± 10.4	2.786	6.1 ± 3.2	4.403	3.1 ± 1.9	4.322	5.5 ± 3.8
2000	0.255	6.5 ± 7.1	0.822	$14.9\pm~5.7$	0.626	7.5 ± 3.2	1.593	3.0 ± 1.3	1.654	3.2 ± 1.9	1.977	2.2 ± 1.6
2001	0.642	$10.5\pm~8.9$	1.068	15.2 ± 11.7	1.064	12.8 ± 11.4	1.620	9.2 ± 9.6	1.923	3.2 ± 4.2	2.210	7.8 ± 8.1
2002	1.253	10.3 ± 6.0	1.011	19.2 ± 10.5	1.684	8.3 ± 6.1	2.217	7.4 ± 4.8	2.165	5.7 ± 5.6	3.235	5.7 ± 5.3
2003	1.000	9.7 ± 6.1	1.568	7.8 ± 2.6	1.515	4.6 ± 2.1	2.715	5.2 ± 3.4	2.627	4.1 ± 2.5	4.347	4.5 ± 3.3
2004	1.161	9.5 ± 6.5	1.427	$12.4\pm~7.0$	1.568	8.1 ± 6.2	1.861	5.1 ± 3.7	2.062	6.7 ± 5.7	2.841	3.3 ± 1.9
2005	1.062	3.8 ± 3.8	0.969	10.5 ± 13.0	1.458	3.5 ± 2.3	1.535	3.4 ± 2.3	1.818	3.5 ± 3.2	2.609	8.2 ± 2.8
2006	1.012	6.6 ± 5.5	0.886	9.2 ± 11.5	1.536	4.2 ± 3.2	1.323	5.2 ± 4.1	2.024	3.1 ± 3.1	2.841	2.6 ± 2.1
mean		10.0 ± 5.2		11.3 ± 4.1		7.0 ± 3.0		5.5 ± 1.8		5.2 ± 3.0		4.6 ± 2.3
Year	Н	a(-2)	Н	a(+2)	Н	a(-1)	Н	a(+1)	H	Ha(0)		
Year	H Flux	$ \begin{array}{c} (a(-2)) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \end{array} $	H Flux	a(+2) (e $\pm \sigma$)	H Flux	a(-1) $(e \pm \sigma)$	H Flux	$a(+1)$ $(e \pm \sigma)$	Flux	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ha}(0) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \end{array}$		
Year	H Flux 36.664	a(-2) ($e \pm \sigma$) 9.4 ± 6.8	H Flux 29.766	a(+2) (e± σ) 11.0±7.0	H Flux 45.469	$ \begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline 7.1 \end{array} $	H Flux 42.018	a(+1) (e ± σ) 11.6 ± 7.6	Flux 48.583	Ha(0) ($e \pm \sigma$) 11.1 ± 7.6		
Year 1996 1997	H Flux 36.664 33.714	fa(-2) $(e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 2.5 = 2.2	H Flux 29.766 28.349	a(+2) (e± σ) 11.0±7.0 8.1±7.1	H Flux 45.469 43.930	a(-1) $(e \pm \sigma)$ 9.1 ± 6.8 6.8 ± 7.1 2.5 = 2.0	H Flux 42.018 41.554	$ a(+1) (e \pm \sigma) 11.6 \pm 7.6 7.9 \pm 9.2 (e \pm \sigma) (e \pm $	Flux 48.583 48.475	$ \frac{\text{Ha}(0)}{(e \pm \sigma)} \\ \frac{11.1 \pm 7.6}{7.4 \pm 8.0} \\ 7.2 \pm 4.0 $		
Year 1996 1997 1998	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717	$ \begin{array}{c} (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ \hline 1.7 \\ 2.1 \end{array} $	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397	$ a(+2) (e \pm \sigma) 11.0 \pm 7.0 8.1 \pm 7.1 4.6 \pm 4.0 1 + 0 = 0 $	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577	$ \begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ \hline 1 & 5.1 \\ 5.1 & 5.1 \\ \hline 1 & 5.1 \\ \hline 1 & 5.1 \\ \hline 1 & 5.1 \\ \hline 1 & 5.1 \\$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438	$ a(+1) (e \pm \sigma) 11.6 \pm 7.6 7.9 \pm 9.2 6.4 \pm 5.5 2.5 2.6 7.9 4 = 5.5 7.5 $	H Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903	$ \frac{\text{Ha}(0)}{(e \pm \sigma)} \\ 11.1 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420	$ \begin{array}{r} (a(-2)) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 2.0 \pm 4.2 \end{array} $	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907	$ a(+2) (e \pm \sigma) 11.0 \pm 7.0 8.1 \pm 7.1 4.6 \pm 4.0 1.4 \pm 0.8 4.4 \pm 2.7 $	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880	$ \begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \end{array} $	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.782	$ a(+1) (e \pm \sigma) 11.6 \pm 7.6 7.9 \pm 9.2 6.4 \pm 5.5 3.5 \pm 2.6 6 6 5 7 $	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577	$ \frac{\text{Ha}(0)}{(e \pm \sigma)} \\ \frac{11.1 \pm 7.6}{7.4 \pm 8.0} \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 7.5 \pm 6.2 $		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153	$\begin{array}{c} (a(-2)) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 3.9 \pm 4.2 \\ 2.1 \pm 6.2 \end{array}$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 0.774	$ a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ 11.0\pm7.0 \\ 8.1\pm7.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.0 \\ 1.4\pm0.8 \\ 4.4\pm3.7 \\ 2.0\pm62 $	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma) 11.6 \pm 7.6 7.9 \pm 9.2 6.4 \pm 5.5 3.5 \pm 2.6 6.6 \pm 5.7 10.1 + 8.4 \\ 10.1 + 8.4 \\ 10.1 + 8.4 \\ 10.1 + 8.4 \\ 10.1 + 8.4 \\ 10$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577	$ \frac{(e \pm \sigma)}{(11.1 \pm 7.6)} \\ \frac{11.1 \pm 7.6}{7.4 \pm 8.0} \\ \frac{7.3 \pm 4.9}{2.5 \pm 2.2} \\ \frac{7.5 \pm 6.8}{7.5 \pm 6.8} \\ \frac{12.0}{7.5 \pm 6.8} \\ 12.$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216	$\begin{array}{c} (a(-2)) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 3.9 \pm 4.2 \\ 8.1 \pm 6.3 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.1 \end{array}$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774	$\begin{array}{c} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0\pm7.0 \\ 8.1\pm7.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.0 \\ 1.4\pm0.8 \\ 4.4\pm3.7 \\ 8.0\pm6.2 \\ c\ 0.2\ 1 \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 2.8 \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2002	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma) 9.4 \pm 6.8 7.0 \pm 8.2 3.5 \pm 3.2 1.7 \pm 2.1 3.9 \pm 4.2 8.1 \pm 6.3 4.1 \pm 1.1 2.5 \pm 2.2 0.1 + 0.2$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612	$a(+2) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ \hline a = 10000000000000000000000000000000000$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.5 \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 12.5 ± 0.0	H Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 0.8 ± 4.0		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 0.552	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.400	$ a(+2) (e \pm \sigma) 11.0 \pm 7.0 8.1 \pm 7.1 4.6 \pm 4.0 1.4 \pm 0.8 4.4 \pm 3.7 8.0 \pm 6.2 6.0 \pm 2.1 4.6 \pm 4.5 0.1 \pm 2.2 0.1 \pm 2.2 0.1 \pm 2.2 0.1 \pm 2.2 \\ 0.1 \pm 2.2 \\ $	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.547	$ \begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array} $	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.0 \end{array}$	H Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Ha}(0) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.1 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 7.5 \pm 6.8 \\ 16.2 \pm 13.0 \\ 12.4 \pm 4.7 \\ 9.8 \pm 4.9 \\ 0.7 \pm 2.5 \end{array}$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.2 ± 2.2	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705	$a(+2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.0 ± 7.0 8.1 ± 7.1 4.6 ± 4.0 1.4 ± 0.8 4.4 ± 3.7 8.0 ± 6.2 6.0 ± 2.1 4.6 ± 4.5 9.1 ± 3.2 0.8 ± 5.3	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 0.008	$ \begin{array}{r} (e \pm \sigma) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.2 \pm 6.2 \end{array} $	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 0.852	$\begin{array}{r} \hline a(+1) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 22.0 \pm 21.2 \end{array}$	H Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.701	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Ha}(0) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.1 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 7.5 \pm 6.8 \\ 16.2 \pm 13.0 \\ 12.4 \pm 4.7 \\ 9.8 \pm 4.9 \\ 9.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 26.0 \pm 25.4 \end{array}$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.480	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 + 2.0	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008	$ \begin{array}{r} (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \end{array} $	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362	$\begin{array}{r} a(+1) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 0.2 \pm 4.0 \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Ha}(0) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.1 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 7.5 \pm 6.8 \\ 16.2 \pm 13.0 \\ 12.4 \pm 4.7 \\ 9.8 \pm 4.9 \\ 9.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 26.0 \pm 25.4 \\ 12.8 \pm 5.6 \end{array}$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489	$ \begin{array}{r} (e \pm \sigma) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 3.9 \pm 4.2 \\ 8.1 \pm 6.3 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.1 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.8 \pm 1.5 \\ 4.3 \pm 3.2 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.9 \\ 4.7 \pm 2.4 \end{array} $	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 2.1 \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.2 \pm 2.0 \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 13.5 ± 9.0 18.0 ± 4.9 32.0 ± 21.3 9.3 ± 4.9	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Ha}(0) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.1 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 7.5 \pm 6.8 \\ 16.2 \pm 13.0 \\ 12.4 \pm 4.7 \\ 9.8 \pm 4.9 \\ 9.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 26.0 \pm 25.4 \\ 12.8 \pm 5.6 \\ \hline 11.2 \pm 6.1 \end{array}$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489	$\begin{array}{c} (a(-2)) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 3.9 \pm 4.2 \\ 8.1 \pm 6.3 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.1 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.8 \pm 1.5 \\ 4.3 \pm 3.2 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.9 \\ \hline 4.7 \pm 2.4 \end{array}$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0\pm7.0 \\ 8.1\pm7.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.0 \\ 1.4\pm0.8 \\ 4.4\pm3.7 \\ 8.0\pm6.2 \\ 6.0\pm2.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.5 \\ 9.1\pm3.2 \\ 9.8\pm5.3 \\ 2.6\pm2.0 \\ \hline 6.3\pm3.1 \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Ha}(0) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.1 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 7.5 \pm 6.8 \\ 16.2 \pm 13.0 \\ 12.4 \pm 4.7 \\ 9.8 \pm 4.9 \\ 9.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 26.0 \pm 25.4 \\ 12.8 \pm 5.6 \\ \hline 11.2 \pm 6.1 \end{array}$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Vear	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma) 9.4 \pm 6.8 7.0 \pm 8.2 3.5 \pm 3.2 1.7 \pm 2.1 3.9 \pm 4.2 8.1 \pm 6.3 4.1 \pm 1.1 2.5 \pm 2.2 4.8 \pm 1.5 4.3 \pm 3.2 2.6 \pm 2.9 4.7 \pm 2.4 b(-2)$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613	$a(+2) (e\pm\sigma) 11.0 \pm 7.0 8.1 \pm 7.1 4.6 \pm 4.0 1.4 \pm 0.8 4.4 \pm 3.7 8.0 \pm 6.2 6.0 \pm 2.1 4.6 \pm 4.5 9.1 \pm 3.2 9.8 \pm 5.3 2.6 \pm 2.0 6.3 \pm 3.1 b(+2)$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 13.5 ± 9.0 18.0 ± 4.9 32.0 ± 21.3 9.3 ± 4.9 12.2 ± 7.8 $b(+1)$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0)$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b(-2) (e + \sigma)	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux	$a(+2) (e\pm\sigma) \\11.0\pm7.0 \\8.1\pm7.1 \\4.6\pm4.0 \\1.4\pm0.8 \\4.4\pm3.7 \\8.0\pm6.2 \\6.0\pm2.1 \\4.6\pm4.5 \\9.1\pm3.2 \\9.8\pm5.3 \\2.6\pm2.0 \\6.3\pm3.1 \\b(+2) \\(e\pm\sigma) \\(e\pm\sigma)$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline b(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 13.5 ± 9.0 18.0 ± 4.9 32.0 ± 21.3 9.3 ± 4.9 12.2 ± 7.8 $b(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 H Flux	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10 383	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b(-2) (e \pm \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \hline b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 1.5 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 13.5 ± 9.0 18.0 ± 4.9 32.0 ± 21.3 9.3 ± 4.9 12.2 ± 7.8 $b(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 6.7 ± 2.2	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 H Flux 12.884	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $Fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b(-2) (e ± \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5 3.7 ± 2.3	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188	$\begin{array}{c} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \hline b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 1.5 \\ 3.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.245 11.509	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline b(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 4.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 2.5 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 13.5 ± 9.0 18.0 ± 4.9 32.0 ± 21.3 9.3 ± 4.9 12.2 ± 7.8 $b(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 6.7 ± 2.2 4.0 ± 3.1	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $Fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688	$f(a)(-2) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b)(-2) = (e \pm \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5 3.7 ± 2.3 2.7 ± 2.5	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \hline b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 1.5 \\ 3.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 2.1 \pm 1.4 \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline b(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 4.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 2.5 \\ 2.7 \pm 2.9 \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 13.5 ± 9.0 18.0 ± 4.9 32.0 ± 21.3 9.3 ± 4.9 12.2 ± 7.8 $b(+1)$ $(e \pm \sigma)$ 6.7 ± 2.2 4.0 ± 3.1 1.7 ± 1.4	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392	$f(a)(-2) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b)(-2) (e ± \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5 3.7 ± 2.3 2.7 ± 2.5 4.1 ± 1.9	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609	$\begin{array}{c} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \hline b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 1.5 \\ 3.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 2.1 \pm 1.4 \\ 5.1 \pm 2.9 \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline b(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 4.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 2.5 \\ 2.7 \pm 2.9 \\ 6.3 \pm 3.9 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664	$a(+1) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.6 ± 7.6 7.9 ± 9.2 6.4 ± 5.5 3.5 ± 2.6 6.6 ± 5.7 10.1 ± 8.4 14.9 ± 8.3 13.5 ± 9.0 18.0 ± 4.9 32.0 ± 21.3 9.3 ± 4.9 12.2 ± 7.8 $b(+1)$ $(e \pm \sigma)$ 6.7 ± 2.2 4.0 ± 3.1 1.7 ± 1.4 4.8 ± 3.2	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1 6.6 ± 6.8		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392 2.499	$\begin{array}{c} (a(-2) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 3.9 \pm 4.2 \\ 8.1 \pm 6.3 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.1 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.8 \pm 1.5 \\ 4.3 \pm 3.2 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.9 \\ \hline 4.7 \pm 2.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609 2.698	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \hline b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 1.5 \\ 3.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 2.1 \pm 1.4 \\ 5.1 \pm 2.9 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.0 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829 3.105	$\begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \\ b(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 4.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 2.5 \\ 2.7 \pm 2.9 \\ 6.3 \pm 3.9 \\ 3.9 \pm 2.5 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664 3.844	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \\ \hline b(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ 6.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.4 \\ 4.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.7 \\ \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542 4.193	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Ha}(0) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.1 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 7.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 7.5 \pm 6.8 \\ 16.2 \pm 13.0 \\ 12.4 \pm 4.7 \\ 9.8 \pm 4.9 \\ 9.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 26.0 \pm 25.4 \\ 12.8 \pm 5.6 \\ \hline 11.2 \pm 6.1 \\ \hline \\ \hline \text{Ha}(0) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ 7.8 \pm 3.9 \\ 4.9 \pm 1.1 \\ 1.8 \pm 2.1 \\ 6.6 \pm 6.8 \\ 5.5 \pm 4.6 \\ \end{array}$		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2007 2007 2006 2006 2007 2007 2007 2006 2007	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392 2.499 2.613	$\begin{array}{c} (a(-2) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 3.9 \pm 4.2 \\ 8.1 \pm 6.3 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.1 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.8 \pm 1.5 \\ 4.3 \pm 3.2 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.9 \\ \hline 4.7 \pm 2.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} b(-2) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 7.0 \pm 4.5 \\ 3.7 \pm 2.3 \\ 2.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.9 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ 4.4 \pm 2.5 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609 2.698 2.716	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \hline \\ b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 1.5 \\ 3.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 2.1 \pm 1.4 \\ 5.1 \pm 2.9 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.0 \\ 5.6 \pm 5.6 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829 3.105 3.220	$\begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \\ b(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 4.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 2.5 \\ 2.7 \pm 2.9 \\ 6.3 \pm 3.9 \\ 3.9 \pm 2.5 \\ 4.5 \pm 4.0 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664 3.844 3.367	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \\ \hline b(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.4 \\ 4.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.7 \\ 2.5 \pm 1.3 \\ \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542 4.193 3.772	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1 6.6 ± 6.8 5.5 ± 4.6 8.0 ± 8.5		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2007 2007 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2007 2006 2007 2007 2007 2006 2007 2007 2006 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2006 2007	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392 2.499 2.613 2.848	$\begin{array}{c} (a(-2) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.4 \pm 6.8 \\ 7.0 \pm 8.2 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 3.9 \pm 4.2 \\ 8.1 \pm 6.3 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.1 \\ 2.5 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.8 \pm 1.5 \\ 4.3 \pm 3.2 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.9 \\ \hline 4.7 \pm 2.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} b(-2) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 7.0 \pm 4.5 \\ 3.7 \pm 2.3 \\ 2.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.9 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ 4.4 \pm 2.5 \\ 5.0 \pm 4.2 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609 2.698 2.716 3.575	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0 \pm 7.0 \\ 8.1 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.0 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 4.4 \pm 3.7 \\ 8.0 \pm 6.2 \\ 6.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.5 \\ 9.1 \pm 3.2 \\ 9.8 \pm 5.3 \\ 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 3.1 \\ \hline 2.6 \pm 2.0 \\ \hline 6.2 \pm 1.5 \\ 3.3 \pm 3.1 \\ 2.1 \pm 1.4 \\ 5.1 \pm 2.9 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.0 \\ 5.6 \pm 5.6 \\ 4.9 \pm 3.8 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829 3.105 3.220 4.058	$\begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664 3.844 3.367 4.222	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \\ \hline b(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.4 \\ 4.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.7 \\ 2.5 \pm 1.3 \\ 5.3 \pm 4.2 \\ \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542 4.193 3.772 4.873	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1 6.6 ± 6.8 5.5 ± 4.6 8.0 ± 8.5 6.8 ± 4.6		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392 2.499 2.613 2.848 4.171	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b(-2) (e \pm \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5 3.7 ± 2.3 2.7 ± 2.5 4.1 ± 1.9 2.6 ± 2.0 4.4 ± 2.5 5.0 ± 4.2 4.2 ± 2.1	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609 2.698 2.716 3.575 4.950	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0\pm7.0 \\ 8.1\pm7.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.0 \\ 1.4\pm0.8 \\ 4.4\pm3.7 \\ 8.0\pm6.2 \\ 6.0\pm2.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.5 \\ 9.1\pm3.2 \\ 9.8\pm5.3 \\ 2.6\pm2.0 \\ \hline 6.3\pm3.1 \\ \hline \\ \hline b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2\pm1.5 \\ 3.3\pm3.1 \\ 2.1\pm1.4 \\ 5.1\pm2.9 \\ 1.7\pm1.0 \\ 5.6\pm5.6 \\ 4.9\pm3.8 \\ 3.0\pm1.5 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829 3.105 3.220 4.058 6.619	$\begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664 3.844 3.367 4.222 6.203	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \\ \hline bb(+1) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.4 \\ 4.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.7 \\ 2.5 \pm 1.3 \\ 5.3 \pm 4.2 \\ 3.4 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542 4.193 3.772 4.873 7.277	$fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $Fa(0) = (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1 6.6 ± 6.8 5.5 ± 4.6 8.0 ± 8.5 6.8 ± 4.6 4.2 ± 3.2		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392 2.499 2.613 2.848 4.171 2.280	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b(-2) (e ± \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5 3.7 ± 2.3 2.7 ± 2.5 4.1 ± 1.9 2.6 ± 2.0 4.4 ± 2.5 5.0 ± 4.2 4.2 ± 2.1 5.4 ± 2.5	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609 2.698 2.716 3.575 4.950 3.476	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0\pm7.0 \\ 8.1\pm7.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.0 \\ 1.4\pm0.8 \\ 4.4\pm3.7 \\ 8.0\pm6.2 \\ 6.0\pm2.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.5 \\ 9.1\pm3.2 \\ 9.8\pm5.3 \\ 2.6\pm2.0 \\ \hline 6.3\pm3.1 \\ \hline \\ b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2\pm1.5 \\ 3.3\pm3.1 \\ 2.1\pm1.4 \\ 5.1\pm2.9 \\ 1.7\pm1.0 \\ 5.6\pm5.6 \\ 4.9\pm3.8 \\ 3.0\pm1.5 \\ 3.3\pm2.7 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829 3.105 3.220 4.058 6.619 3.402	$\begin{array}{r} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664 3.844 3.367 4.222 6.203 3.801	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \\ \hline b(+1) \\ \hline (e \pm \sigma) \\ 6.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.4 \\ 4.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.7 \\ 2.5 \pm 1.3 \\ 5.3 \pm 4.2 \\ 3.4 \pm 3.0 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.1 \\ \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542 4.193 3.772 4.873 7.277 4.153	$fa(0) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0) (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1 6.6 ± 6.8 5.5 ± 4.6 8.0 ± 8.5 6.8 ± 4.6 4.2 ± 3.2 3.9 ± 5.3		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2005	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392 2.499 2.613 2.848 4.171 2.280 2.111	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b(-2) (e \pm \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5 3.7 ± 2.3 2.7 ± 2.5 4.1 ± 1.9 2.6 ± 2.0 4.4 ± 2.5 5.0 ± 4.2 4.2 ± 2.1 5.4 ± 2.5 4.0 ± 3.4	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 27.907 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609 2.698 2.716 3.575 4.950 3.476 3.379	$\begin{array}{c} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0\pm7.0 \\ 8.1\pm7.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.0 \\ 1.4\pm0.8 \\ 4.4\pm3.7 \\ 8.0\pm6.2 \\ 6.0\pm2.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.5 \\ 9.1\pm3.2 \\ 9.8\pm5.3 \\ 2.6\pm2.0 \\ \hline 6.3\pm3.1 \\ \hline \\ \hline b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2\pm1.5 \\ 3.3\pm3.1 \\ 2.1\pm1.4 \\ 5.1\pm2.9 \\ 1.7\pm1.0 \\ 5.6\pm5.6 \\ 4.9\pm3.8 \\ 3.0\pm1.5 \\ 3.3\pm2.7 \\ 5.0\pm2.4 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829 3.105 3.220 4.058 6.619 3.402 2.790	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} b(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.3 \pm 4.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 2.5 \\ 2.7 \pm 2.9 \\ 6.3 \pm 3.9 \\ 3.9 \pm 2.5 \\ 4.5 \pm 4.0 \\ 5.3 \pm 4.2 \\ 2.9 \pm 2.9 \\ 4.6 \pm 4.6 \\ 4.4 \pm 2.5 \\ \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664 3.844 3.367 4.222 6.203 3.801 3.395	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \\ \hline b(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.4 \\ 4.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.7 \\ 2.5 \pm 1.3 \\ 5.3 \pm 4.2 \\ 3.4 \pm 3.0 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.1 \\ 7.7 \pm 2.7 \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542 4.193 3.772 4.873 7.277 4.153 3.193	$fa(0) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $fa(0) (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1 6.6 ± 6.8 5.5 ± 4.6 8.0 ± 8.5 6.8 ± 4.6 4.2 ± 3.2 3.9 ± 5.3 9.1 ± 4.1		
Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 mean Year 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	H Flux 36.664 33.714 27.717 29.420 15.153 11.216 12.285 16.800 9.552 7.416 8.489 H Flux 10.383 9.883 7.688 6.392 2.499 2.613 2.848 4.171 2.280 2.111 2.604	$fa(-2) (e \pm \sigma)$ 9.4 ± 6.8 7.0 ± 8.2 3.5 ± 3.2 1.7 ± 2.1 3.9 ± 4.2 8.1 ± 6.3 4.1 ± 1.1 2.5 ± 2.2 4.8 ± 1.5 4.3 ± 3.2 2.6 ± 2.9 4.7 ± 2.4 b(-2) (e \pm \sigma) 7.0 ± 4.5 3.7 ± 2.3 2.7 ± 2.5 4.1 ± 1.9 2.6 ± 2.0 4.4 ± 2.5 5.0 ± 4.2 4.2 ± 2.1 5.4 ± 2.5 4.0 ± 3.4 2.5 ± 2.5	H Flux 29.766 28.349 26.397 12.928 9.774 12.612 16.071 12.490 10.705 13.613 H Flux 8.673 8.188 7.905 6.609 2.698 2.716 3.575 4.950 3.476 3.379 3.930	$\begin{array}{r} a(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 11.0\pm7.0 \\ 8.1\pm7.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.0 \\ 1.4\pm0.8 \\ 4.4\pm3.7 \\ 8.0\pm6.2 \\ 6.0\pm2.1 \\ 4.6\pm4.5 \\ 9.1\pm3.2 \\ 9.8\pm5.3 \\ 2.6\pm2.0 \\ \hline 6.3\pm3.1 \\ \hline \\ b(+2) \\ (e\pm\sigma) \\ \hline 6.2\pm1.5 \\ 3.3\pm3.1 \\ 2.1\pm1.4 \\ 5.1\pm2.9 \\ 1.7\pm1.0 \\ 5.6\pm5.6 \\ 4.9\pm3.8 \\ 3.0\pm1.5 \\ 3.3\pm2.7 \\ 5.0\pm2.4 \\ 1.2\pm0.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$	H Flux 45.469 43.930 34.577 37.880 19.099 13.240 18.827 24.724 14.567 9.008 12.402 H Flux 11.245 11.509 8.515 6.829 3.105 3.220 4.058 6.619 3.402 2.790 3.007	$\begin{array}{c} a(-1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 9.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 6.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.8 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 4.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 10.4 \pm 8.4 \\ 4.7 \pm 3.8 \\ 3.6 \pm 2.5 \\ 1.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.3 \pm 6.2 \\ 4.2 \pm 1.6 \\ \hline 5.3 \pm 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	H Flux 42.018 41.554 36.438 42.658 20.783 11.934 16.231 21.440 13.621 9.852 12.362 H Flux 11.531 10.986 9.689 8.664 3.844 3.844 3.367 4.222 6.203 3.801 3.395 4.105	$\begin{array}{c} a(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 11.6 \pm 7.6 \\ 7.9 \pm 9.2 \\ 6.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 3.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 6.6 \pm 5.7 \\ 10.1 \pm 8.4 \\ 14.9 \pm 8.3 \\ 13.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 18.0 \pm 4.9 \\ 32.0 \pm 21.3 \\ 9.3 \pm 4.9 \\ \hline 12.2 \pm 7.8 \\ \hline b(+1) \\ (e \pm \sigma) \\ \hline 6.7 \pm 2.2 \\ 4.0 \pm 3.1 \\ 1.7 \pm 1.4 \\ 4.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.7 \\ 2.5 \pm 1.3 \\ 5.3 \pm 4.2 \\ 3.4 \pm 3.0 \\ 3.5 \pm 3.1 \\ 7.7 \pm 2.7 \\ 2.3 \pm 1.8 \\ \end{array}$	Flux 48.583 48.475 39.903 48.223 22.577 13.292 19.611 25.761 14.724 8.791 12.101 12.101 Flux 12.884 13.094 10.530 9.542 4.193 3.772 4.873 7.277 4.153 3.193 3.686	$fa(0) (e \pm \sigma)$ 11.1 ± 7.6 7.4 ± 8.0 7.3 ± 4.9 2.5 ± 2.2 7.5 ± 6.8 16.2 ± 13.0 12.4 ± 4.7 9.8 ± 4.9 9.7 ± 2.5 26.0 ± 25.4 12.8 ± 5.6 11.2 ± 6.1 $Fa(0) (e \pm \sigma)$ 7.8 ± 3.9 4.9 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 2.1 6.6 ± 6.8 5.5 ± 4.6 8.0 ± 8.5 6.8 ± 4.6 4.2 ± 3.2 3.9 ± 5.3 9.1 ± 4.1 3.5 ± 4.0		



Fig. 9. The flux error measurements (in percent of part line flux) against flux for -5, -1, 0, 1, 5 segments of the H α line. The flux is in units of 10^{-13} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹.

In Fig. 18, we indicate the HeII/HeI versus continuum flux (first panel), BD versus HeII/HeI (second panel), and He II/H β versus continuum flux (third panel). As can be seen from Fig. 18 (first panel), there is a good correlation between the continuum flux and the helium line ratio (the correlation coefficient r = 0.81), which indicates that these lines probably originate in the region photoionized by the continuum source. On the other hand, the Balmer decrement decreases as the ratio of the two helium lines increases (the anti-correlation is a bit weaker here, the correlation coefficient being r = 0.75), indicating that as the ionization becomes stronger, the BD decreases (Fig. 18, second panel).

The photoionization model (Korista & Goad 2004) illustrates that the Balmer lines should exhibit less flux variation with continuum state than He I, which varies less than He II. In this case, one can expect that He II/H β changes far more than He II/He I. The observed changes in the He II/H β flux ratio (see Fig. 18, third panel) are probably not caused by differences in the way that these two lines respond to the level of the ionizing continuum flux (see Shapovalova et al. 2008, Fig. 10 in their paper), but rather to changes in the shape of the ionizing SED. In our case, this ratio drops by about 4 times between the high and low



Fig. 10. Light curves of the different H α (*upper panel*) and H β (*bottom panel*) line segments. The segments in the blue wing (numbers from -5 to -1 from Table 3) are marked with crosses (×), and in the red wing (numbers from +5 to +1 from Table 3) with plus (+). The abscissae gives the Julian date (*bottom*) and the corresponding year (*top*). The ordinate gives the flux in units erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. The variation in the continuum flux, which is scaled by different factors (100 and 10 times for H α and H β , respectively) to be comparable with the variation in the central part of the line, is presented with the solid line.

continuum states, while the He II/He I line ratio changes around 3 times.

There is some connection between the helium and Balmer lines (Fig. 18, left), but the physical properties of the emitting regions of these lines differ probably. One explanation of this correlation may be that part of the Balmer lines originate in the



Fig. 11. The segment to segment response, where the first period (Period I, 1996–1999) is denoted with open circles, the second period (Period II, 2000–2001) with full ones, and the third period (Period III, 2002–2006) with open triangles (Paper I). The flux is given in erg cm⁻² s⁻¹.

same region as He I and He II lines. Since the ratio of He II/ He I is sensitive to changes in temperature and electron density, in the case of a higher He II/ He I ratio, the ionization is higher and the population at a higher level in hydrogen has a higher probability, consequently the ratio of $H\alpha/H\beta$ is smaller than in the case of a lower He II/ He I ratio.

5. Summary of the results

We have investigated different aspects of broad H α and H β line variations (shapes, ratios, widths, and asymmetries), so in this section we summarize our results.

5.1. Summary of the line profile variations

We summarize here the main results of the line profile variations in NGC 4151:

- i) the line profile of H α and H β varied during the monitoring period, exhibiting both blue (1996-1999) and red (2002-2006) asymmetry. We observe bumps (shelves or an additional emission) in the blue region in 1996-1997 at $V_r \sim -4000$ and -2000 km s⁻¹, in 1998–99 at \sim -2000 km s⁻¹, and in 2000-2001 at -2000 V_r and -500 km s^{-1} already. However, in 2002–2004 these details disappeared in the blue wing of both lines. It became steeper than the red one and did not contain any noticeable features. In 2002, a distinct bump appeared in the red wing of both lines at $+3100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The radial velocity of a bump in the red wing changed from $+3100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in 2002 to \sim 2100 km s⁻¹ in 2006. The appearance of the blue and red bumps was possibly related to a jet component;
- ii) in 2005 (May–June), when the nucleus of NGC 4151 experienced its minimum activity state, the line profiles had a double-peaked structure with two distinct peaks (bumps) at radial velocities of -2586, +2027 km s⁻¹ in H β and -1306, +2339 km s⁻¹ in H α ;

Table 5. Yearly-averaged and period-averaged variations in the integral

 Balmer decrement (BD) and continuum fluxes.

Year	$F(H\alpha)/$	$F(H\beta) \pm \sigma$	$F(5100) \pm \sigma^a$		
1996	3.65	0.51	11.18	1.55	
1997	3.39	0.36	7.62	1.03	
1998	3.58	0.35	6.08	1.19	
1999	4.49	0.74	5.12	1.62	
2000	5.01	0.62	2.75	1.33	
2001	5.43	1.07	1.87	0.47	
2002	3.67	0.44	3.59	0.29	
2003	3.16	0.27	4.84	0.58	
2004	3.70	0.29	2.44	0.57	
2005	3.96	0.54	1.99	0.18	
2006	3.58	0.36	2.60	0.80	
mean (1996–1998):	3.54	0.13	8.29	2.62	
mean 1999(JanApr.):	4.21	0.59	5.89	0.56	
1999 (Dec.):	5.33		2.78	0.12	
mean (2000–2001):	5.22	0.30	2.31	0.62	
mean (2002–2006):	3.61	0.29	3.09	1.14	

^{*a*} In units of 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

Columns: 1 – year or yearly-intervals; $2 - F(H\alpha)/F(H\beta)$, $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$ flux ratio or integrated Balmer Decrement (BD); $3 - \sigma(F(H\alpha)/F(H\beta))$, the estimated $F(H\alpha)/F(H\beta)$ error; 4 - F(5100), continuum flux at $\lambda 5100$ Å; $5 - \sigma$, estimated continuum flux error. The last four lines provide the mean BD, mean continuum flux, and their estimated errors in year-periods.

- iii) in 1996–2001, we observed a broad deep absorption line in H β at a radial velocity that took values from $V_r \sim -1000$ to $V_r \sim -400$ km s⁻¹;
- iv) the *FWHM* is not correlated with the continuum flux, while the asymmetry tends to anti-correlate with it (coefficient correlation $r \sim -0.5$). The *FWHM* and asymmetry in H β are greater than in H α , which may be caused by H β originating closer to the SMBH, thus explaining its larger widths;

A. I. Shapovalova et al.: Variability of NGC 4151. II.



Fig. 12. The flux of different segments of the line as a function of the continuum flux. The line flux is given in erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ and the continuum flux in erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

- v) we divided the line profiles into 11 identical segments, each of width 1000 km s⁻¹ and investigated the correlations between segments and continuum flux and between segments and segments flux. We found that the far red wings (from 3500 to 5500 km s⁻¹) and central (at $V_r =$ ± 3500 km s⁻¹) segments for the continuum flux $F_c <$ 7×10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ A⁻¹ respond to the continuum almost linearly, and that these segments probably originate in the part of the BLR ionized by the AGN source;
- vi) the central ($\pm 3500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) segments for $F_c > 7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ A}^{-1}$ do not show any linear relation with continuum flux. In periods of high activity, these line segments probably partly originate in substructures that are not photoioninized by the AGN continuum;
- vii) the far blue wing (from -5500 to -4500) seems to originate in a separate region, so it does not respond to the continuum flux variation as well as to the variation of the other line segments, except in the case of high line flux, where it responds to the far red wing (see Fig. 12). This may indicate that the far blue wing is emitted by a distinct region that is not photoionized, and also that the emission is highly blueshifted (as e.g., an outflow with velocities >3500 km s⁻¹);
- viii) the far red wing is very sensitive to the continuum flux variation, and thus probably originates in a region closest to the center, i.e., this part of the line seems to be purely photoionized by the AGN source.



Fig. 13. The flux of different H α segments as a function of the flux of the central H α segment. The line flux is given in erg cm⁻² s⁻¹.

From all above mentioned, one can conclude that the broad lines of NGC 4151 are produced by least three kinematically (and physically) distinct regions.

5.2. Summary of the BD variations

During the monitoring period, the Balmer decrement (BD = $F(\text{H}\alpha)/F(\text{H}\beta)$) varied from 2 to 8. It is also interesting that BDs differ along line profiles. In 1996–1998, there was no significant correlation between the BD and the continuum flux (the continuum flux was $F_c \sim (6-12) \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ A}^{-1}$). In 1999–2001, maximal variations in the BD were observed, especially in the blue part of lines. The maximal value of the BD along the line profiles strongly differed: in 1996–2001, the BD reached its maximum at $V_r \sim \pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and in 2002–2006 the BD had 2 peaks – at velocities from –2000 to –1000 and at $V_r \sim 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for somewhat smaller (by ~(0.5–1)) values of the BD. In the last case, it is possible that the second bump (the fainter one) is caused by the interaction between the receding sub-parsec jet and environment.



Fig. 14. Variations in the integrated Balmer decrement BD = $F(H\alpha)/F(H\beta (upper panel))$ and the continuum flux at $\lambda 5100$ Å (*bottom panel*) in 1996–2006. The abscissae gives the Julian date (*bottom*) and the corresponding year (*top*). The continuum flux is in units 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹. The vertical lines correspond to years 1999 and 2002.

A. I. Shapovalova et al.: Variability of NGC 4151. II.



Fig. 15. The variations in the Balmer decrement for different parts of the broad emission lines. The flux is given in erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

The different values of the BD observed during the monitoring period (as well as different values of the BD along the profiles) are also indicative of a multicomponent origin of the broad lines. These different ratios may be caused by absorption, but also by different physical conditions in different parts of the BLR.

6. Discussion

It is interesting to compare our results with those found in the UV and X rays. Crenshaw & Kraemer (2007) found a width of 1170 km s⁻¹ (FWHM) for the UV emission lines significantly smaller than our results for the Balmer lines (*FWHM* ~ 5000-7000 km s⁻¹, around 5-6 times smaller). This can be interpreted as the existence of an intermediate component between the broad and narrow (emission) line regions (see e.g., Popović et al. 2009). In the same paper, they found evidence that the UV emission lines originate in the same gas responsible for most of the UV and X-ray absorption. The absorption can be seen in outflow at a distance of 0.1 pc from the central nucleus. It is also interesting that Crenshaw & Kraemer (2007) favor a magnetocentrifugal acceleration (e.g., in an accretion disk wind) over those that rely on radiation or thermal expansion as the principal driving mechanism of the mass outflow. Obscuration should play an important role, but we found that while absorption can clearly be detected in the H β line, the H α line displays a small amount of absorption (see Figs. 2 and 3). Absorption in the H β line was previously reported by Hutchings et al. (2002), therefore obscuration of the optical continuum should be present in NGC 4151. The location of the obscuring material was estimated by Puccetti et al. (2007). They analyzed the X-ray variability of the nucleus. They found that the location of the obscuring matter is within 3.4×10^4 Schwarzschild radii of the central X-ray source and suggested that absorption



Fig. 16. Variations in the BD of different segments of the line profiles and in the continuum flux (*bottom panel*) in 1996–2006. The BD of segments in the blue wing (numbers from -5 to -1 from Table 3) are denoted with plus (+), in red wing (numbers from +5 to +1 from Table 3) with crosses (×), and of central part (number 0) with open circles. The vertical lines correspond to years 1999 and 2002. The abscissae shows the Julian date (*bottom*) and the corresponding year (*top*). The ordinate shows the BD for different segments of the line profile. The continuum flux is in units 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹Å⁻¹.

variability plays a crucial role in the observed flux variability of NGC 4151.

We discuss some possible formation scenarios for the BLR. As we mentioned above, the outflow is probably induced by



Fig. 17. Variations in the Balmer decrement $BD = F(H\alpha)/F(H\beta)$ as a function of the radial velocity for monthly-averaged spectra each year of the monitoring period. The abscissae indicates the radial velocities relative to the narrow components. The monthly-averaged Julian date and the corresponding year are given at the top of each plot.



Fig. 18. *Left*: the variation of the ratio of the helium He II λ 4686 and He I λ 5876 lines as a function of the continuum flux. *Middle*: the BD as a function of the helium line ratio. *Right*: the variation of the ratio of the helium He II λ 4686 and H β lines as a function of the continuum flux. The continuum flux is in units 10^{-14} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

the magnetocentrifugal acceleration, and starts very close to the black hole. If we adopt a black hole mass of around $4 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ (obtained from stellar dynamical measurements, see Onken et al. 2008, which is in agreement with Bentz et al. 2006), the acceleration (and line emission) starts at ~10⁻⁴ pc from the black hole and the outflow emits until reaching a distance of ~0.01 pc, taking into account the absorption velocities (e.g. Hutchings et al. 2002) of around -1000 km s⁻¹,

As mentioned above, the broad H α and H β lines show different widths and asymmetries during the monitoring period, indicating a complex structure of the BLR. We also found that the line profiles of H α and H β could be different at the same epoch. Therefore, one should consider a multi-component origin of these lines.

To propose a BLR model, one should take into account that: a) there is an absorption component in the blue part of lines that indicate that some kind of outflow may start in the BLR (see Sect. 3.2). Crenshaw & Kraemer (2007) also reported a mass outflow from the nucleus of NGC 4151, but they confirmed the observed outflow in the intermediate line region (ILR); b) the flux in the far red wing correlates well with the continuum flux, indicating that it originates in an emission region very close to the continuum source, i.e., to the central black hole; c) the flux of the far blue wing does not correlate with the continuum, which may be indicative of some kind of shock contribution to the Balmer line.

All of these results indicate that an outflow probably exists in the BLR. In this case, complex line profiles (with different features) can be expected because of changes in the outflowing structure, as is often seen in the narrow lines observed in the jetinduced shocks (see e.g., Whittle & Wilson 2004). Of course, we cannot exclude there being some contribution of different regions to the composite line profile, as e.g., there can be also contribution of the ILR which may be with an outflow geometry. In a forthcoming paper, we propose to compare the observational results with those predicted by various models of the kinematics and structure of the BLR (e.g., a bi-conical outflow, an accelerating outflow (wind), a Keplerian disk, jets, etc.).

We note that the line profiles change during the monitoring period, especially after 2002, when the "red bump" appears. After that, the asymmetry of both lines (see Table 2) and BD exhibit different behaviors than in the period 1996–2002. In the III period, the line profiles of H α and H β very much changed in shape from double-peaked profiles (see Figs. 1 and 2) to quite asymmetrical profiles (as observed in 2006).

The integrated Balmer decrement reached a maximum in 1999–2001 (see Fig. 14). The BD changes shape from 2002, showing two peaks in its BD versus velocity field profile. This is probably connected to strong inhomogeneities in the distribution of the absorbing material during different periods of monitoring. Since the line flux in H α and H β at low

fluxes $(\langle 7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ A}^{-1})$ correlates well with that of the continuum (Paper 1), we infer that the change in the integrated Balmer decrement during 1999-2001 is also caused, at least partially, by changes in the continuum flux. Indeed, when the ionization parameter decreases for a constant density plasma, an increase in $F(H\alpha)/F(H\beta)$ intensity ratio is expected (see for instance Wills et al. 1985). This is because of the decrease in the excitation state of the ionized gas, since when the temperature of the ionized zone gets smaller, the population of the upper levels with respect to the lower decreases. In 1996-1998, BDs did not correlate with continuum, i.e., the main cause of BD variations is not related to the active nucleus and the shock initiated emission is probably dominant. We detected that the FWHM of lines does not correlate with the continuum. This confirms our assumption that broad lines can form in several (three) different subsystems or that the emission is affected by an outflow that produces shock-initiated emission.

7. Conclusions

This work is intended to proceed Paper I (Shapovalova et al. 2008) and has entailed a detailed analysis of the broad H α and H β line profile variations during the 11-year period of monitoring (1996–2006). From this study (Sect. 3), it follows that the BLR in NGC 4151 is complex, and that broad emission lines represent the sums of components formed in different subsystems:

- 1. The first component is photoionized by the AGN continuum (far red line wings, $V_r \sim +4000$ and $+5000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and central (at $V_r = \pm 3500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) segments for continuum flux $F_c < 7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ A}^{-1}$). This region is the closest to the SMBH.
- 2. The second component varies independently of changes in the AGN continuum (far blue line wings, $V_r \sim$ -5000 km s⁻¹; -4000 km s⁻¹). It is possibly generated by shocks initiated by an outflow.
- 3. The third component, where the central parts of lines $(V_r < 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ are formed, in high fluxes $F_c > 7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{A}^{-1}$ is also independent of the AGN continuum (possibly, outflow and jet).

Finally, we can conclude that the BLR of NGC 4151 may not be purely photoionized, i.e., besides photoionization, there could be some internal shocks contributing to the broad lines (see also discussion in Paper I). There are at least three different subregions with different velocity fields and probably different physical conditions, which produce complex variability in the broad lines of NGC 4151 and changes in the line profile (which are very often temporarily bumps). Our investigations indicate that reverberation mapping might not be valid as a tool to determine the BLR size in this AGN and that this AGN is not perfect for this method. Consequently, the results for the BLR size of NGC 4151 (given in the introduction) should be interpreted with caution.

Acknowledgements. Authors would like to thank Suzy Collin for her suggestions how to improve this paper. Also, we thank the anonymous referee for very useful comments. This work was supported by INTAS (grant N96-0328), RFBR (grants N97-02-17625 N00-02-16272, N03-02-17123 and 06-02-16843, 09-02-01136), State program "Astronomy" (Russia), CONACYT research grant 39560-F and 54480 (México) and the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia through the project Astrophysical Spectroscopy of Extragalactic Objects (146002).

References

- Abrassart, A., & Czerny, B. 2000, A&A, 356, 475
- Anderson, K. S. 1974, ApJ, 189, 195
- Anderson, K. S., & Kraft, R. P. 1969, ApJ, 158, 859
- Bentz, M. C., Denney, K. D., Cackett, E. M., et al. 2006, ApJ, 651, 775
- Boller, Th., Brandt, W. N., & Fabian, A. C. 1997, MNRAS, 289, 393
 Brandt, W. N., Boller, Th., Fabian, A. C., & Ruszkowski, M. 1999, MNRAS, 303, L53
- Clavel, J., Boksenberg, A., Bromage, G. E., et al. 1990, MNRAS, 246, 668
- Collin-Souffrin, S., Czerny, B., Dumont, A.-M., & Zycki, P. T. 1996, A&A, 314, 393
- Crenshaw, D. M., & Kraemer, S. B. 2007, ApJ, AJ, 659, 250
- Crenshaw, D. M., Kraemer, S. B., Hutchings, J. B., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 1731
- Czerny, B., Doroshenko, V. T., Nikolajuk, M., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 1222
- Griem, H. R. 1997, Principles of plasma spectroscopy (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- Goad, M. R., Korista, K. T., & Knigge, C. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 277
- Hutchings, J. B., Crenshaw, D. M., Danks, A. C., et al. 1999, AJ, 118, 2101
- Hutchings, J. B., Crenshaw, D. M., Kraemer, S. B., et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 2543

- Kollatschny, W., & Dietrich, M. 1997, A&A, 323, 5
- Korista, K. T., & Goad, M. R. 2004, ApJ, 606, 749
- Lyuty, V. M. 2005, Pis'ma in Astron. Zh. 31, 723 (rus)
- Maoz, D., Netzer, H., Mazeh, T., et al. 1991, ApJ, 367, 493
- Newman, J. A., Eracleous, M., Filippenko, A. V., & Halpern, J. P. 1997, ApJ, 485, 570
- Onken, C. A., Valluri, M., Peterson, B. M., et al. 2007, ApJ, 670, 105
- Peterson, B. M., & Cota, S. A. 1988, ApJ, 330, 111
- Popović, L.Č., Mediavilla, E., Bon, E., & Ilić, D. 2004, A&A, 423, 909
- Popović, L.Č., Smirnova, A. A., Kovačević, J., Moiseev, A. V., & Afanasiev, V. L. 2009, AJ, 137, 3548
- Puccetti, S., Fiore, F., Risaliti, G., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 377, 607
- Risaliti, G., Elvis, M., & Nicastro, F. 2002, ApJ, 571, 234
- Schurch, N. J., & Warwick, R. S. 2002, MNRAS, 334, 811
- Sergeev, S. G., Pronik, V. I., Sergeeva, E. A., & Malkov, Yu. F. 1999, ApJS, 121, 159
- Sergeev, S. G., Pronik, V. I., & Sergeeva, E. A. 2001, ApJ, 554, 245
- Shapovalova, A. I., Doroshenko, V. T., Bochkarev, N. G., et al. 2004, A&A, 422, 925
- Shields, J. C., Ferland, G. J., & Peterson, B. M. 1995, ApJ, 441, 507
- Sulentic, J. W., Marziani, P., & Dultzin-Hacyan, D. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 521
- Shapovalova, A. I., Popović, L. Č, Collin, S., et al. 2008, A&A, 486, 99
- Ulrich, M.-H. 2000, A&ARv, 10, 135
- Ulrich, M.-H., & Horne, K. 1996, MNRAS, 283, 748
- Ulvestad, J. S., Wong, D. S., Taylor, G. B., Gallimore, J. F., & Mundell, C. G. 2005, AJ, 130, 936
- Vlasyak, V. V. 1993, Bull. Spec. Astrophys. Obs., 36, 107
- Wanders, I., & Peterson, B. M. 1996, ApJ, 466, 174
- Waymann, R. J., Morris, S. L., Gray, M. E., & Hutchings, J. B. 1997, ApJ, 483, 717
- Whittle, M., & Wilson, A. 2004, AJ, 127, 606
- Wills, B. J., Netzer, H., & Wills, D. 1985, ApJ, 288, 94